在脉冲星测时阵列中寻找引力波背景



08/Dec/2023





Image courtesy of *Science*, credit: Nicolle Rager Fuller [modified]



Newton's law of Gravity





$$F_G = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2}$$











the Rocket

Einstein's General Relativity

 $\frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$





Gravitational Waves





Physics 1993

R. Hulse and J. Taylor

For measurements of the Hulse-Taylor binary system that provides indirect evidence for existence of gravitational waves.









Physics 2017







Credit: https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/herschel/multimedia/pia17009.html



Credit: https://stockiner.com/gravitational-wave-background-discovered-entire-universe-is-filled-with-the-buzz-of-extremely-long-wavelength-space-time-oscillations/

The gravitational wave background (GWB) is formed by many different overlapping gravitational-wave signals emitted from the cosmic population of supermassive binary black holes and/or other cosmological processes.





Big Bang









Gravitational-Wave Spectrum and Hellings-Downs Curve

The timing-residual cross-power spectral density



SMBHB $\alpha = -2/3$







1)
$$\left(e^{2\pi i f L_b(1+\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot\mathbf{p}_b)}-1\right)\sum_{A=+,\times}F_a^A(\mathbf{\Omega})F_b^A(\mathbf{\Omega})$$

NANOGrav 15-year

NANOGrav collaboration, ApJL 951:L8 (arXiv:2306.16213) Antoniadis et al., A&A (arXiv:2306.16214) Reardon et al., ApJL (arXiv:2306.16215) Xu et al., RAA 23:075024 (arXiv:2306.16216)

EPTA DR2

CPTA DR1

NANOGrav 15-year

NANOGrav collaboration, ApJL 951:L8 (arXiv:2306.16213) Antoniadis et al., A&A (arXiv:2306.16214) Reardon et al., ApJL (arXiv:2306.16215) Xu et al., RAA 23:075024 (arXiv:2306.16216)

EPTA DR2

PPTA DR3

NANOGrav 15-year:

We report multiple lines of evidence for a stochastic signal that is correlated among 67 pulsars from the 15-year pulsar-timing data set collected by the North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves. The correlations follow the Hellings-Downs pattern expected for a stochastic gravitational-wave background. The presence of such a gravitational-wave background with a power-law-spectrum is favored over a model with only independent pulsar noises with a Bayes factor in excess of 10^{14} , and this same model is favored over an uncorrelated common power-law-spectrum model with Bayes factors of 200–1000, depending on spectral modeling choices. We have built a statistical back-ground distribution for these latter Bayes factors using a method that removes inter-pulsar correlations from our data set, finding $p = 10^{-3}$ (approx. 3σ) for the observed Bayes factors in the null no-correlation scenario. A frequentist test statistic built directly as a weighted sum of inter-pulsar correlations yields $p = 5 \times 10^{-5} - 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (approx. $3.5 - 4\sigma$). Assuming a fiducial $f^{-2/3}$ characteristic-strain spectrum, as appropriate for an ensemble of binary supermassive black-hole inspirals, the strain amplitude is $2.4^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-15}$ (median +90% credible interval) at a reference frequency of 1 yr^{-1} . The inferred gravitational-wave background amplitude and spectrum are consistent with astrophysical expectations for a signal from a population of supermassive black-hole binaries, although more exotic cosmological and astrophysical sources cannot be excluded. The observation of Hellings–Downs correlations points to the gravitational-wave origin of this signal.

NANOGrav collaboration, ApJL 951:L8 (arXiv:2306.16213)

EPTA DR2:

We present the results of the search for an isotropic stochastic gravitational wave background (GWB) at nanohertz frequencies using the second data release of the European Pulsar Timing Array (EPTA) for 25 millisecond pulsars and a combination with the first data release of the Indian Pulsar Timing Array (InPTA). A robust GWB detection is conditioned upon resolving the Hellings-Downs angular pattern in the pairwise cross-correlation of the pulsar timing residuals. Additionally, the GWB is expected to yield the same (common) spectrum of temporal correlations across pulsars, which is used as a null hypothesis in the GWB search. Such a common-spectrum process has already been observed in pulsar timing data. We analysed (i) the full 24.7-year EPTA data set, (ii) its 10.3-year subset based on modern observing systems, (iii) the combination of the full data set with the first data release of the InPTA for ten commonly timed millisecond pulsars, and (iv) the combination of the 10.3-year subset with the InPTA data. These combinations allowed us to probe the contributions of instrumental noise and interstellar propagation effects. With the full data set, we find marginal evidence for a GWB, with a Bayes factor of four and a false alarm probability of 4%. With the 10.3-year subset, we report evidence for a GWB, with a Bayes factor of 60 and a false alarm probability of about 0.1% ($\gtrsim 3\sigma$ significance). The addition of the InPTA data yields results that are broadly consistent with the EPTA-only data sets, with the benefit of better noise modelling. Analyses were performed with different data processing pipelines to test the consistency of the results from independent software packages. The latest EPTA data from new generation observing systems show non-negligible evidence for the GWB. At the same time, the inferred spectrum is rather uncertain and in mild tension with the common signal measured in the full data set. However, if the spectral index is fixed at 13/3, the two data sets give a similar amplitude of $(2.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-15}$ at a reference frequency of 1 yr^{-1} . Further investigation of these issues is required for reliable astrophysical interpretations of this signal. By continuing our detection efforts as part of the International Pulsar Timing Array (IPTA), we expect to be able to improve the measurement of spatial correlations and better characterise this signal in the coming years..

PPTA DR3:

Pulsar timing arrays aim to detect nanohertz-frequency gravitational waves (GWs). A background of GWs modulates pulsar arrival times and manifests as a stochastic process, common to all pulsars, with a signature spatial correlation. Here we describe a search for an isotropic stochastic gravitational-wave background (GWB) using observations of 30 millisecond pulsars from the third data release of the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array (PPTA), which spans 18 years. Using current Bayesian inference techniques we recover and characterize a common-spectrum noise process. Represented as a strain spectrum $h_c = A(f/1yr^{-1})^{\alpha}$, we measure $A = 3.1^{+1.3}_{-0.9} \times 10^{-15}$ and $\alpha = -0.45 \pm 0.20$ respectively (median and 68% credible interval). For a spectral index of $\alpha = -2/3$, corresponding to an isotropic background of GWs radiated by inspiraling supermassive black hole binaries, we recover an amplitude of $A = 2.04^{+0.25}_{-0.22} \times 10^{-15}$. However, we demonstrate that the apparent signal strength is time-dependent, as the first half of our data set can be used to place an upper limit on A that is in tension with the inferred common-spectrum amplitude using the complete data set. We search for spatial correlations in the observations by hierarchically analyzing individual pulsar pairs, which also allows for significance validation through randomizing pulsar positions on the sky. For a process with $\alpha = -2/3$, we measure spatial correlations consistent with a GWB, with an estimated false-alarm probability of $p \leq 0.02$ (approx. 2σ). The long timing baselines of the PPTA and the access to southern pulsars will continue to play an important role in the International Pulsar Timing Array.

Reardon et al., ApJL (arXiv:2306.16215)

CPTA DR1:

Observing and timing a group of millisecond pulsars with high rotational stability enables the direct detection of gravitational waves (GWs). The GW signals can be identified from the spatial correlations encoded in the times-of-arrival of widely spaced pulsar-pairs. The Chinese Pulsar Timing Array (CPTA) is a collaboration aiming at the direct GW detection with observations carried out using Chinese radio telescopes. This short article serves as a "table of contents" for a forthcoming series of papers related to the CPTA Data Release 1 (CPTA DR1) which uses observations from the Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST). Here, after summarizing the time span and accuracy of CPTA DR1, we report the key results of our statistical inference finding a correlated signal with amplitude $logA_c = -14.4^{+1.0}_{-2.8}$ for spectral index in the range of $\alpha \in [-1.8, 1.5]$ assuming a GW background (GWB) induced quadrupolar correlation. The search for the Hellings–Downs (HD) correlation curve is also presented, where some evidence for the HD correlation has been found that a $4.6 - \sigma$ statistical significance is achieved using the discrete frequency method around the frequency of 14 nHz. We expect that the future International Pulsar Timing Array data analysis and the next CPTA data release will be more sensitive to the nHz GWB, which could verify the current results.

Xu et al., RAA 23:075024 (arXiv:2306.16216)

Estimated sensitivity to the characteristic strain

IPTA collaboration, arXiv:2309.00693

SuperMassive Black Hole Binaries

Galaxy Merger

Dynamical friction drives massive objects to central positions

Stellar Core Merger

NGC 17

Dynamical friction less efficient as SMBHs form a binary.

Binary Formation 4C 37.11

Stellar and gas interactions may dominate binary inspiral?

The Lifecycle of Binary Supermassive **Black Holes**

Orbital separation

> **Evolution** duration

Credit: arXiv:1811.08826

Continuous GWs

Gravitational radiation provides efficient inspiral. Circumbinary disk may track shrinking orbit.

Coalescence, **Memory & Recoil**

Post-coalescence system may experience gravitational recoil.

18 parameters for the astro-informed formation model [Chen, Sesana & Conselice, MNRAS (2019),

arXiv:1810.04184]

Galaxy stellar mass function (GSMF) Galaxy pair fraction Galaxy merger timescale Bulge-SMBH relation Stellar and SMBHB condition

parameter	description	prior
	GSMF	
Φ_0	GSMF norm	$-2.77\substack{+0.2\\-0.2}$
Φ_I	GSMF norm redshift evolution	$-0.27\substack{+0.2\\-0.2}$
$\log_{10}M_{G0}$	GSMF scaling mass	$11.24\substack{+0.2\\-0.1}$
$lpha_0$	GSMF mass slope	$-1.24\substack{+0.1\\-0.1}$
α_I	GSMF mass slope redshift evolution	$-0.03\substack{+0.1\\-0.1}$
	Galaxy pair function	
f_0	pair fraction norm	Uniform $[0.01]$
$lpha_{f}$	pair fraction mass slope	Uniform $[-0.$
eta_f	pair fraction redshift slope	Uniform [0
γ_{f}	pair fraction mass ratio slope	Uniform $[-0.]$
	Galaxy merger timescale	
$ au_0$	merger time norm	Uniform [0.1
$lpha_{ au}$	merger time mass slope	Uniform $[-0.$
$eta_ au$	merger time redshift slope	Uniform $[-$
$\gamma_{ au}$	merger time mass ratio slope	Uniform $[-0.]$
	$M_{ m bulge}$ - $M_{ m BH}$ relation	
$\log_{10} M_*$	$M_{ m bulge}$ - $M_{ m BH}$ relation norm	$8.17\substack{+0.35\\-0.32}$
$lpha_*$	$M_{ m bulge}$ - $M_{ m BH}$ relation slope	$1.01^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$
ϵ	$M_{ m bulge}$ - $M_{ m BH}$ relation scatter	Uniform [0.2
	Stellar and SMBHB condition	
e_0	SMBHB initial eccentricity	Uniform $[0.01]$
$\log_{10}\zeta_0$	stellar density factor	Uniform [-]

Bi, Wu, Chen & QGH, SCPMA 66(2023)120402

Gravitational-Wave Background from SMBHBs

Bi, Wu, Chen & QGH, SCPMA 66(2023)120402

Conclusion and Discussion

- do not have substantial evidence yet, we may be beginning to detect a GWB.
- sources for the stochastic signal detected by PTAs.
- GWBs in the nHz range. [NANOGrav collaboration, Astrophys.J.Lett. (2023), Antoniadis et al., arXiv:2306.16227]

Recently NANOGrav, EPTA, PPTA and CPTA independently reported strong evidence for a stochastic signal consistent with Gravitational-Wave Background (GWB). Although we

Supermassive Black Hole Binaries (SMBHBs) provide one of the most promising GW

In addition to SMBHBs, more exotic cosmological sources can also produce detectable

- Einstein's general relativity or beyond? 1) The velocity of gravitational wave: $v_{gw} = c$ (massless)
 - 2) Quadrupole radiation
 - 3) Two tensor polarization modes

Massive graviton

12

 $m_g = 10^{-23} \text{eV}$

Lee et al., ApJ (2010) Liang & Trodden, PRD (2021) Wu, Chen & QGH, PRD (2023) $10^{-24.5}$

$$k^{\mu} = (\omega, \mathbf{k}): \ \omega = \sqrt{m_g^2 + |\mathbf{k}|^2} \ \rightarrow f_{cut} = m_g \ (<1/T \simeq 8.2 \times 10^{-24} \ eV)$$

Wu, Chen, Bi & QGH, arXiv:2310.07469

Dispersion relation: $\omega = vk$

Liang, Lin & Trodden, arXiv:2304.02640

v/c > 0.87

Bi, Wu, Chen & QGH, arXiv:2310. 08366

Gravitational–Wave Polarization

(f)

General relativity predicts that gravitational waves have two independent tensor polarization modes.

A most general metric gravity theory can allow two vector modes (VL) and two scalar modes (ST and SL) besides the two tensor modes in general relativity.

The over-lap reduced function (ORF) for TT and ST modes are given by

$$\Gamma_{ab}^{TT}(f) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\delta_{ab}) + \frac{3}{2}k_{ab}\left(\ln k_{ab} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \qquad k_{ab} = (1-\cos\xi_{ab})/2$$
$$\Gamma_{ab}^{ST}(f) = \frac{1}{8}(3+4\delta_{ab} + \cos\xi_{ab})$$

The ORF for the general transverse mode is defined by

$$\Gamma_{ab}^{GT}(f) = \frac{1}{8}(3 + 4\delta_{ab} + \cos\xi_{ab}) + \frac{\alpha}{2}k_{ab}\ln k_{ab}$$

which reduced to TT for $\alpha = 3$ and ST for $\alpha = 0$.

Closed-form expressions for the SL and VL modes are not available, and have to be computed numerically.

Angle ξ between Earth-pulsar baselines (degrees)

ephemeris	TT	ST	VL	SL
DE438	4.96(9)	107(7)	1.94(3)	0.373(5)
BayesEphem	2.35(3)	18.4(7)	1.31(2)	0.555(7)

TABLE II. The Bayes factors for various models compared to the UCP model. The digit in the parentheses gives the uncertainty on the last quoted digit.

Lee & Wagenmakers, 2015

Bayes Factor	Interpretation		
> 100	Extreme evidence for alternative hypothesis		
30 - 100	Very strong evidence for alternative hypothesis		
10 - 30	Strong evidence for alternative hypothesis		
3 - 10	Moderate evidence for alternative hypothesis		
1 - 3	Anecdotal evidence for alternative hypothesis		
1	No evidence		

The common-spectrum process is taken as the fiducial model M_0 .

 $A_{ST} = 1.06^{+0.35}_{-0.28} \times 10^{-15}$, or, $\Omega_{GW}^{ST} = 1.54^{+1.21}_{-0.71} \times 10^{-9}$

(90% confidence level)

Chen, Yuan & QGH, SCPMA 64(2021)12, 120412 (arXiv:2101.06869)

NANOGrav 15-yr

Bayesian Factor compared to TT polarization mode

Model	\mathbf{ST}	VL	\mathbf{SL}	TT + ST	GT-best
BF	0.40(3)	0.12(2)	0.002(1)	0.943(5)	3.9(3)

Chen, Wu, Bi & QGH, arXiv:2310.11238

