Noval Half-BPS Wilson Loops in 3d *N*=4 Chern-Simonsmatter theories

Jun-Bao Wu

IHEP, CAS

Based on work in progress with

Hao Ouyang, Jia-ju Zhang

ICTS, USTC, Apr. 2, 2015

Outline

- Background classification of BPS Wilson loops in CSM theories
- M2-branes in AdS_4 *S⁷/ Γ
- Constructions of half-BPS Wilson loops in 3d N=4 CSM theories
- Discussions

Backgrounds

- The **classification** of BPS Wilson loops (WLs) in 3d super Chern-Simons theories is more complicated than similar problems in 4d SYM.
- There are two types: Gaiotto-Yin type and Drukker-Trancanelli type.
- The construction of DT type WLs involves fermions.

Backgrounds

- GY type WLs exist in CSM theories with at least *N*=2 SUSY.
- DT type WLs exist for N=5, 6 CSM theories. *[Drukker etal 09][Lee, Lee, 10]*
- We have strong evidence that BPS WLs can be at most 1/3 BPS. *Either DT type WL does not exist here or it can be at most 1/3 BPS. [Chen, JW, Zhu, 14]*
- How about the case of N=4 theories?

Reviews

- ABJM theory is 3d *N*=6 **Chern-Simons-matter** theory with gauge group U(N)*U(N) and Chern-Simons level (k, -k).
- The scalar fields are four complex scalars in the bifundemental representation (N, N)

$$
\delta A_{\mu} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \left(\phi_I \bar{\psi}_J \gamma_{\mu} \theta^{IJ} + \bar{\theta}_{IJ} \gamma_{\mu} \psi^J \bar{\phi}^I \right),
$$

\n
$$
\delta \hat{A}_{\mu} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \left(\bar{\psi}_J \gamma_{\mu} \phi_I \theta^{IJ} + \bar{\theta}_{IJ} \bar{\phi}^I \gamma_{\mu} \psi^J \right),
$$

\n
$$
\delta \phi_I = 2i \bar{\theta}_{IJ} \psi^J, \quad \delta \bar{\phi}^I = 2i \bar{\psi}_J \theta^{IJ},
$$

\n
$$
\delta \psi^I = 2\gamma^{\mu} \theta^{IJ} D_{\mu} \phi_J - \frac{4\pi}{k} \theta^{IJ} \left(\phi_J \bar{\phi}^K \phi_K - \phi_K \bar{\phi}^K \phi_J \right) - \frac{8\pi}{k} \theta^{KL} \phi_K \bar{\phi}^I \phi_L,
$$

\n
$$
\delta \bar{\psi}_I = -2\bar{\theta}_{IJ} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \bar{\phi}^J + \frac{4\pi}{k} \bar{\theta}_{IJ} \left(\bar{\phi}^J \phi_K \bar{\phi}^K - \bar{\phi}^K \phi_K \bar{\phi}^J \right) + \frac{8\pi}{k} \bar{\theta}_{KL} \bar{\phi}^K \phi_I \bar{\phi}^L.
$$

GY type Wilson loops in ABJM theory

• Following GY, we consider the WLs (before take the trace)

$$
W = \mathcal{P}e^{-i\int \mathcal{A}},
$$

$$
\mathcal{A} = A_0 + \frac{2\pi}{k} M^I{}_J \phi_I \bar{\phi}^J.
$$

- δ A=0 gives only 1/6-BPS WLs with M=diag(1, 1, -1, -1).
- SU(4)_R is broken to SU(2)*SU(2)*U(1).
- Non-constant M leads to less supersymmetry (general rule).
- *[Drukker, Plefka, Young 08] [Chen, JW, 08] [Rey, Suyama, Yamaguchi, 08]*

Gravity dual

- The simplest string solution dual to WL is AdS_2 in AdS_4 and which is a point in **CP**³ .
- This solution is half-BPS and the isometry of **CP**³ is broken to $SU(3)*U(1)$.
- So the immediate problem is to construct the half-BPS WLs in ABJM theory.

Half-BPS Wilson loops

• In 2009, Drukker and Trancanelli constructed the following WL (we consider the **timelike** Wilson line going from infinite to infinite)

$$
W = \mathcal{P}e^{-i\int L},
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\mathcal{A}} = A_0 + \frac{2\pi}{k}M^I{}_J\phi_I\bar{\phi}^J,
$$

\n
$$
\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{A}_0 + \frac{2\pi}{k}N_I^J\bar{\phi}^I\phi_J,
$$

\n
$$
f_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}\bar{\eta}_I\psi^I,
$$

\n
$$
\bar{f}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}\bar{\psi}_I\eta^I.
$$

Half-BPS Wilson loops

• We only need $\delta L = \mathcal{D}_0 G \equiv \partial_0 G + i[L, G]$, for the WL to be BPS.

• Recall that though the gauge potential is not gauge covariant, the WL is gauge invariant.

Parameters for half-BPS WL

• M=N=diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)
$$
\bar{\eta}_I = \bar{\eta} \delta^1_I, \quad \eta^I = \eta \delta^I_1,
$$

$$
\gamma_0 \eta = i\eta, \quad \bar{\eta} \gamma_0 = i\bar{\eta}.
$$

$$
\eta\bar\eta=-i-\gamma_0,
$$

M2-branes in AdS₄*S⁷/Γ

Set-up

• We put multi- membranes at C^4/Γ , where the discrete group Γ is generated by

 $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (\omega_m z_1, \omega_m z_2, z_3, z_4),$

$$
(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (\omega_{mk} z_1, \omega_{mk} z_2, \omega_{mk} z_3, \omega_{mk} z_4),
$$

$$
\omega_n \equiv \exp(2i\pi/n)
$$

• The near horizon limit gives M-theory on AdS₄*S⁷/F. This background is dual to 3d *N*=4 SCFT.

M2-brane solution

- The simplest M2 brane dual to WL has topology AdS_2 *S¹.
- AdS₂ part is inside AdS_4 . S¹ part is inside S⁷/F and is along the **M-theory circle**.
- After some computations, we found that this probe M2-brane is **half-BPS** w. r. t. the background.
- So there should be half-BPS WL in the dual field theory.

Half BPS Wilson Loops in 3d *N*=4 Chern-Simons-matter theories

Dual field theory

• The conformal field theory dual to M-theory on AdS_4 *S 7 /F can be obtained from non-chiral orbifold of ABJM theory. The obtained theory has *N*=4 supersymmetries. *[Benna, Klebanov, Klose, Smedback, 08].*

$$
\delta A_{\mu}^{(2\ell+1)} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \left[\left(\phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell+1)} - \phi_i^{(2\ell)} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} \right) \gamma_{\mu} \theta^{i\hat{i}} + \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \gamma_{\mu} \left(\psi_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}} - \psi_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \right) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta \hat{A}_{\mu}^{(2\ell)} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \left[\left(\bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell-1)} \phi_i^{(2\ell-1)} - \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} \phi_i^{(2\ell)} \right) \gamma_{\mu} \theta^{i\hat{i}} + \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \gamma_{\mu} \left(\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{\hat{i}} \psi_{(2\ell-1)}^{\hat{i}} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \psi_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \right) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\delta \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} = 2i \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \psi_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}}, \quad \delta \phi_i^{(2\ell)} = -2i \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \psi_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}},
$$

\n
$$
\delta \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}} = 2i \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell+1)} \theta^{i\hat{i}}, \quad \delta \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} = -2i \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} \theta^{i\hat{i}},
$$

$$
(\theta^{i\hat{\imath}})^* = \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{\imath}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{\imath}} = \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\theta^{j\hat{\jmath}}.
$$

$$
\delta\psi_{(2\ell)}^{i} = 2\gamma^{\mu}\theta^{i\hat{i}}D_{\mu}\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)} - \frac{4\pi}{k}\theta^{i\hat{i}}\left(\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{j}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell-1)} + \phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{j}\phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)} - \phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)} - \phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{j}\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)}\right) - \frac{8\pi}{k}\theta^{j\hat{j}}\left(\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i}\phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)} - \phi_{\hat{j}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{i}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell-1)}\right),
$$

$$
\delta\psi_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}} = -2\gamma^{\mu}\theta^{i\hat{i}}D_{\mu}\phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)} + \frac{4\pi}{k}\theta^{i\hat{i}}\left(\phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)} + \phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+2)}^{j}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+2)}\right) \n- \phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)} - \phi_{j}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{j}\phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)}\right) \n- \frac{8\pi}{k}\theta^{j\hat{j}}\left(\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+2)}^{i}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+2)} - \phi_{j}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{i}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\right),
$$

$$
\delta \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} = -2 \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} + \frac{4\pi}{k} \bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}} \left(\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{j}} + \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \phi_{j}^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{\hat{j}} \phi_{j}^{(2\ell-1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} \phi_{j}^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}} \right) \n+ \frac{8\pi}{k} \bar{\theta}_{j\hat{j}} \left(\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell-1)}^{\hat{j}} \phi_{i}^{(2\ell-1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{j}} \phi_{i}^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{j}} \right),
$$

$$
\delta\bar{\psi}_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell+1)} = 2\bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}}\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i} - \frac{4\pi}{k}\bar{\theta}_{i\hat{i}}\left(\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j} + \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{j} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+1)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+2)}^{j}\phi_{j}^{(2\ell+2)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{i}\right) \n+ \frac{8\pi}{k}\bar{\theta}_{j\hat{j}}\left(\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^{j} - \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+2)}^{j}\phi_{\hat{i}}^{(2\ell+2)}\bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^{j}\right).
$$

¼-BPS GY type Wilson loops

$$
\begin{split} &W^{(2\ell+1)} = \mathcal{P} \exp\left(-i\int \mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)}\right),\\ &\mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} = A_0^{(2\ell+1)} + \frac{2\pi}{k}\left(M^i{}_j\phi^{(2\ell+1)}_i\bar{\phi}^j_{(2\ell+1)} + M^{\hat{\imath}}_j\phi^{(2\ell)}_i\bar{\phi}^{\hat{\jmath}}_{(2\ell)}\right).\\ &\hat{W}^{(2\ell)} = \mathcal{P} \exp\left(-i\int \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)}\right),\\ &\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(2\ell)} = \hat{A}_0^{(2\ell)} + \frac{2\pi}{k}\left(N^{\ j}_i\bar{\phi}^i_{(2\ell-1)}\phi^{(2\ell-1)}_j + N^{\ j}_i\bar{\phi}^{\hat{\imath}}_{(2\ell)}\phi^{(2\ell)}_j\right), \end{split}
$$

• All the matrices M and N are diag(-1, 1).

Half-BPS DT type Wilson loops

$$
W = \mathcal{P}e^{-i\int L}, \qquad L = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & F_1 \\ F_2 & \hat{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

$$
\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\mathcal{A}^{(1)} & & & \\
& \mathcal{A}^{(3)} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}^{(2\ell+1)} = A_0^{(2\ell+1)} + \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(M^i_{\ j} \phi_i^{(2\ell+1)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell+1)}^j + M^i_{\ j} \phi_i^{(2\ell)} \bar{\phi}_{(2\ell)}^j \right),
$$

$$
F_1=\begin{pmatrix}f_1^{(0)}&f_1^{(1)}&&&\\&f_1^{(2)}&f_1^{(3)}&&\\&&\ddots&\ddots&\\&&&f_1^{(2n-4)}&f_1^{(2n-3)}\\&&&f_1^{(2n-2)}&\\f_1^{(2\ell+1)}=\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}\bar{\eta}^{(2\ell+1)}_i\psi^{\hat{i}}_{(2\ell+1)},&f_1^{(2\ell)}=\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}}\bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)}_i\psi^{\hat{i}}_{(2\ell)},\end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\bar{F}_2 = \begin{pmatrix}\n\bar{f}_2^{(0)} & \bar{f}_2^{(2n-1)} \\
\bar{f}_2^{(1)} & \bar{f}_2^{(2)} & \cdots \\
& \bar{f}_2^{(3)} & \cdots \\
& & \bar{f}_2^{(2n-4)} \\
& & & \bar{f}_2^{(2n-3)} \\
& & & & \bar{f}_2^{(2n-2)}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n
$$
\bar{f}_2^{(2\ell+1)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell+1)} \eta_{(2\ell+1)}^{\hat{i}}, \quad \bar{f}_2^{(2\ell)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\psi}_i^{(2\ell)} \eta_{(2\ell)}^{\hat{i}},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bar{\eta}_i &= \bar{\eta} \delta_i^1, \quad \eta^i = \eta \delta_1^i, \quad \bar{\eta}_i = \eta^{\hat{\imath}} = 0 \\
M^i_{\ j} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_1 \\ & m_2 \end{array} \right), \quad M^{\hat{\imath}}_{\ j} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_1 \\ & m_{\hat{1}} \end{array} \right), \\
N_i^{\ j} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} n_1 \\ & n_2 \end{array} \right), \quad N_{\hat{\imath}}^{\ \hat{\jmath}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} n_{\hat{1}} \\ & n_{\hat{1}} \end{array} \right).\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m_1 = n_1 = -1 \qquad m_2 = m_{\hat{\imath}} = n_2 = n_{\hat{\imath}} = 1,
$$

$$
\gamma_0 \eta_{(2\ell)} = i \eta_{(2\ell)}, \quad \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)} \gamma_0 = i \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)}.
$$

$$
\eta_{(2\ell)} \bar{\eta}^{(2\ell)} = -i I_{2 \times 2} - \gamma_0
$$

Side Remark on a subtle point

- All of these BPS Wilson loops in Minkowski spacetime are **time-like**.
- There are no space-like BPS Wilson loops due to some real conditions.
- Anybody wrote down this claim clearly?
- (Same results found in von Dennis Muller's M. Sc. Thesis, but he did not give the clear statement.)
- In Euclidean case, we can relax the real condition and can define the BPS WL.
- Put the theory on S^3 and perform the supersymmetry localization.
- Check if the half-BPS DT-type WL is in the same Q-cohomology as special %-BPS GY-type WL. (Q is the supercharge used for localization).
- If so, compute the vev of half-BPS WL using localization.

What will we do? – continued

- There are many other 3d *N*=4 Chern-Simons-matter theories. *[Gaiotto, Witten, 08] [Hosomichi, Lee, Lee, Lee, Park, 08] [Fa-Min Chen, Yong-Shi Wu, 12]*
- Are there DT type WL in these theories?
- How about the complete story in *N*=3 case.

Thanks for your time!