Studies on the primordial perturbations

李明哲 中国科技大学 交叉学科理论研究中心 基金委"暗能量本质及其基本理论"高级研讨班,西安,2014-4-9

Outline

- Generating scale-invariant density perturbation in contracting universe
- Inflation models with flat potentials
- Conclusions

Generating Scale Invariant Density Perturbation in Contracting Universe



Primordial (scalar) perturbations: adiabatic, nearly scale-invariant, negligible non-Gaussianities

 $\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = A_s (k/k_*)^{n_s - 1}$ $n_s = 0.969 \pm 0.010$ $f_{NL} = 2.7 \pm 5.8 (Local), -42 \pm 75 (Equilateral), -25 \pm 39 (Orthogonal)$

Consistent with single field slow-roll inflation!

Generation of scalar perturbation in single field model

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{M_p^2}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi)\right)$$

Background $ds^2 = a^2(\eta)\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$ $\epsilon = -\frac{H}{H^2} = \frac{3}{2}(1+w) = \frac{1}{2M_\pi^2}\frac{\phi'^2}{\mathcal{H}^2}$

Perturbation

$$S_2 = M_p^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \epsilon g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \zeta \partial_\nu \zeta$$

 $\zeta = -\Psi - \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\phi'}\delta\phi$ curvature perturbation, gauge invariant

$$S_2 = \int d^4x (M_p^2 \epsilon a^2) \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \zeta \partial_\nu \zeta = \int d^4x [\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u + \frac{z''}{z} u^2]$$

 $z = M_p a \sqrt{\epsilon} , \quad u = z \zeta$

w = constant

$$\frac{z''}{z} = \frac{a''}{a} = \frac{1 - 3w}{(1 + 3w)^2} \frac{2}{\eta^2}$$

Quantization
$$S_2 = \int d^4x [\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\hat{u}\partial_{\nu}\hat{u} + \frac{z''}{z}\hat{u}^2] \qquad \hat{\zeta} = \frac{\hat{u}}{z}$$

$$\hat{u}_{\vec{k}} = \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} u_k + \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} u_k^* \qquad [\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}, \ \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'}^{\dagger}] = \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \qquad \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} |0\rangle = 0$$

$$u_k'' + (k^2 - \frac{z''}{z})u_k = 0$$

Deep inside horizon

$$k|\eta| \gg 1$$

Bunch-Davies vacuum

Outside horizon

$$k|\eta|\sim 0$$

$$\nu = \frac{3}{2} |\frac{w - 1}{1 + 3w}|$$

Power spectrum

$$\mathcal{P}_{u}(k) = \frac{k^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} |u_{k}|^{2} \to A(-\eta)^{1-2\nu} k^{3-2\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \mathcal{P}_{u}(k) \to B(-\eta)^{1-2\nu-\frac{4}{1+3w}} k^{3-2\nu} \qquad n_{s} = 4 - 2\nu$$

Scale-invariance $\nu = \frac{3}{2} |\frac{w-1}{1+3w}|$ $n_s = 1, \ \nu = \frac{3}{2} \qquad \frac{z''}{z} = \frac{a''}{a} = \frac{2}{\eta^2}$ (1) $w = 0, \ \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \sim (-\eta)^{-6}$ Finelli & Brandenberger, PRD (2002); Wands, PRD (1999) matter bounce, unstable background (2) $w = -1, \ \mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \rightarrow const.$ $a = -\frac{1}{H\eta}$ de Sitter space, inflation

Bouncing universe: alternative to inflation



Flattening and isotropizing the universe by the contracting phase

Without w>l component

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3M_{p}^{2}} \left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{a^{3}} + \frac{\rho_{r}}{a^{4}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{a^{6}}\right) - \frac{k}{a^{2}}$$

dominates the universe, highly anisotropic

Belinsky, Khalatnikov & Lifshitz, Adv. Phys. (1970)

With w>1 component

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3M_{p}^{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{m}}{a^{3}} + \frac{\rho_{r}}{a^{4}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{a^{6}} + \frac{\rho_{\phi}}{a^{3(1+w_{\phi})}}\right) - \frac{k}{a^{2}}$$

dominant, others are
suppressed

Ekpyrotic phase: slow contraction with w>l

Khoury, Ovrut, Steinhardt, Turok, PRD (2001); Steinhardt & Turok, PRD (2002)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{M_p^2}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi)\right)$$

Negative steep potential

$$V = -V_0 e^{-\frac{c}{M_p}\phi}$$

$$w = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V}{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V} > 1$$

Scaling solution (stable)

$$w = \frac{c^2}{3} - 1 > 1$$



The curvature perturbation produced in single field Ekpyrotic model has a strongly blue spectrum, excluded by observations

$$n_s = 3 - \frac{2}{1+3w} > 2.5$$

Rapid varying w model

$$V = V_0(1 - e^{-\frac{c}{M}\phi})$$
 Khoury & Steinhardt, PRL (2010)

Scale-invariant perturbations are generated during the transition

$$w \simeq -1 \rightarrow w \gg 1$$

Large non-Gaussianities, not compatible with results of PLANCK

Standard entropic mechanism

Lehners, McFadden, Turok & Steinhardt, PRD (2007), Lehners & Steinhardt, arXiv: 1304.3122

Multi fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_1 \partial^{\mu} \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_2 \partial^{\mu} \phi_2 + V_1 e^{-\frac{c_1}{M_p} \phi_1} + V_2 e^{-\frac{c_2}{M_p} \phi_2}$$

Projection into directions along and orthogonal to the background trajectory

$$\sigma^{\prime 2} = \phi_1^{\prime 2} + \phi_2^{\prime 2} \quad \cos \theta \equiv \phi_1^{\prime} / \sigma^{\prime} \quad \sin \theta \equiv \phi_2^{\prime} / \sigma^{\prime}$$

$$\sigma^{\prime} = \phi_1^{\prime} \cos \theta + \phi_2^{\prime} \sin \theta$$

$$s^{\prime} = -\phi_1^{\prime} \sin \theta + \phi_2^{\prime} \cos \theta = \frac{-\phi_1^{\prime} \phi_2^{\prime} + \phi_2^{\prime} \phi_1^{\prime}}{\sigma^{\prime}} = 0$$
adiabatic perturbation
$$\delta \sigma = \delta \phi_1 \cos \theta + \delta \phi_2 \sin \theta$$
entropy perturbation
$$\delta s = -\delta \phi_1 \sin \theta + \delta \phi_2 \cos \theta$$
background trajectory

Gordon, Wands, Bassett, Maartens, PRD (2001)

$$\delta\sigma'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\sigma' + (k^2 + a^2V_{\sigma\sigma} - \theta'^2)\delta\sigma = -2a^2V_{\sigma}\Psi + 4\sigma'\Psi' + 2a(\frac{\theta'\delta s}{a})' - 2a^2V_{\sigma}\frac{\theta'}{\sigma'}\delta s$$
$$\delta s'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta s' + (k^2 + a^2V_{ss} + 3\theta'^2)\delta s = 4M_p^2k^2\frac{\theta'}{\sigma'}\Psi$$

$$V = -\sum_{i} V_{i} e^{-\frac{c_{i}}{M_{p}}\phi_{i}} \qquad V_{\sigma} \equiv \cos\theta V_{\phi_{1}} + \sin\theta V_{\phi_{2}}$$
$$V_{\sigma\sigma} \equiv \sin^{2}\theta V_{\phi_{2}\phi_{2}} + \sin 2\theta V_{\phi_{1}\phi_{2}} + \cos^{2}\theta V_{\phi_{1}\phi_{1}}$$
$$V_{ss} \equiv \sin^{2}\theta V_{\phi_{1}\phi_{1}} - \sin 2\theta V_{\phi_{1}\phi_{2}} + \cos^{2}\theta V_{\phi_{2}\phi_{2}}$$

Adiabatic and entropy perturbations are decoupled if $\theta' = 0$ Otherwise the entropy perturbation can source the adiabatic perturbation.

The reprocessed adiabatic perturbation inherits the same shape of the entropy perturbation

Scaling solution $\theta' = 0$

background trajectory is a straight line

$$\frac{\phi_1'}{\phi_2'} = \frac{c_2}{c_1} , \ w = \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2}{3(c_1^2 + c_2^2)} - 1 \qquad \sin \theta = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}} , \ \cos \theta = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}}$$
$$\delta s'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta s' + (k^2 + a^2 V_{ss})\delta s = 0 \qquad v \equiv a\delta s$$
$$v'' + (k^2 - \frac{a''}{a} + a^2 V_{ss})v = 0 \qquad -\frac{a''}{a} + a^2 V_{ss} \rightarrow -\frac{2}{\eta^2} \ when \ w \gg 1$$

scale-invariant entropy perturbation!

During the Ekpyrotic phase, adiabatic perturbation is negligibly small, entropy perturbation is scale-invariant. After the Ekpyrotic phase, entropy perturbation converts into the adiabatic perturbation. The conversion happens when $\theta' \neq 0$



Fig. 6. The trajectory in field space reflects off a boundary at $\phi_2 = 0$. The entropy perturbation, denoted δs , is orthogonal to the trajectory. The bending causes the conversion of entropy modes into adiabatic modes $\delta \sigma$, which are perturbations tangential to the trajectory.

Lehners, McFadden, Turok & Steinhardt, PRD (2007)

Difficulty: tachyonical instability

Fields (including background and perturbations) rotations

$$\sigma = \phi_1 \cos \theta + \phi_2 \sin \theta$$
$$s = -\phi_1 \sin \theta + \phi_2 \cos \theta$$

only valid when $\theta' = 0$

$$S_s = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}s\partial_{\nu}s - V(\sigma, s)\right]$$

$$V(\sigma, s) = -\exp(-\frac{c_1 c_2}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2} M_p} \sigma) \left[V_1 \exp(\frac{c_1^2}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2} M_p} s) + V_2 \exp(-\frac{c_2^2}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2} M_p} s)\right]$$

 σ

S

Koyama & Wands, JCAP (2007); Koyama, Mizuno, Wands, Class. Quant. Grav. (2007) Buchbinder, Khoury & Ovrut, JHEP (2007)

General argument

$$S_{s} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{4}x a^{2} [\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} s \partial_{\nu} s - a^{2} m_{s}^{2} s^{2}]$$

$$m_{s}^{2} = \frac{\partial^{2} V(\sigma, s)}{\partial s^{2}} \qquad \qquad \frac{a''}{a} = \frac{1 - 3w}{(1 + 3w)^{2}} \frac{2}{\eta^{2}}$$

$$v'' + (k^{2} - \frac{a''}{a} + a^{2} m_{s}^{2})v = 0$$

Scale-invariance requires $\frac{a''}{a} - a^2 m_s^2 = \frac{2}{\eta^2}$ $a^2 m_s^2 = [\frac{1 - 3w}{(1 + 3w)^2} - 1]\frac{2}{\eta^2}$

$$w > 1, \ m_s^2 < 0$$

Scaling solution
$$\frac{\phi_1'}{\phi_2'} = \frac{c_2}{c_1}$$
, $w = \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2}{3(c_1^2 + c_2^2)} - 1$ is unstable

Non-minimal couplings

Mingzhe Li, arXiv:1306.0191, Physics Letters B 724 (2013) 192-197

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi + V_0 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p} \phi} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{M_p} \phi} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial^{\mu} \chi$$

In our case $\lambda = \alpha$ $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi + e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p} \phi} (\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial^{\mu} \chi + V_0)$

$$\Box \phi + \frac{\lambda}{M_p} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi} (\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi + V_0) = 0$$
$$\Box \chi - \frac{\lambda}{M_p} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \chi = 0$$
$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{1}{6M_p^2} (\phi'^2 + \chi'^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi} - 2a^2 V_0 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi})$$

$$x \equiv \frac{\phi'}{\sqrt{6}M_p\mathcal{H}}, \ y \equiv \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2M_p}\phi}\chi'}{\sqrt{6}M_p\mathcal{H}} \qquad z \equiv \frac{a\sqrt{V_0}e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2M_p}\phi}}{\sqrt{3}M_p\mathcal{H}}$$
$$x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 1 \qquad x^2 + y^2 \ge 1$$

Di Marco, Finelli, Brandenberger, PRD (2003)

$$\dot{x} = 3x(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda(x^2 + 2y^2 - 1)$$
$$\dot{y} = 3y(x^2 + y^2 - 1) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda xy$$

 $\dot{x} \equiv dx/d\ln a, \ \dot{y} \equiv dy/d\ln a$

Critical points (scaling solutions) $x = x_0, \ y = y_0 \text{ with } \dot{x}_0 = 0, \ \dot{y}_0 = 0$ (i) $(x_0 = -1, \ y_0 = 0)$ (ii) $(x_0 = 1, \ y_0 = 0)$ (iii) $(x_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{6}}, \ y_0 = 0)$

(In)stabilities

$$\begin{split} X &= x - x_0 \qquad Y = y - y_0 \\ \dot{X} &= (9x_0^2 - \sqrt{6}\lambda x_0 - 3)X \ , \ \dot{Y} = (3x_0^2 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda x_0 - 3)Y \\ |X| &\sim \exp[(9x_0^2 - \sqrt{6}\lambda x_0 - 3)\ln a] \ , \ |Y| \sim \exp[(3x_0^2 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda x_0 - 3)\ln a] \\ Contracting \ universe, \ \ln a \ decreases \\ Stable \ solutions, \ 9x_0^2 - \sqrt{6}\lambda x_0 - 3 > 0, \ 3x_0^2 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\lambda x_0 - 3 > 0 \end{split}$$

Assuming positive λ

- (*i*) $(x_0 = -1, y_0 = 0)$ **unstable**
- (*ii*) $(x_0 = 1, y_0 = 0)$ stable if $\lambda < \sqrt{6}$ $z_0 = 0$ w = 1 $\phi \to +\infty$

dynamically equivalent to single field model, blue power spectrum

(*iii*)
$$(x_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{6}}, y_0 = 0)$$
 stable if $\lambda > \sqrt{6}$
 $w = \frac{\lambda^2}{3} - 1 > 1$ $x_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{6}} > 1, y_0 = 0$ $z_0 = -\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{6} - 1}$

This is what we need!

Damping by the non-minimal coupling

$$\chi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\chi' - \frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi'\chi' = \chi'' - (\sqrt{6}\lambda x_0 - 2)\mathcal{H}\chi' = \chi'' - (\lambda^2 - 2)\mathcal{H}\chi' = 0$$

$$\sigma'^2 = \phi'^2 + \chi'^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi}$$

$$\sigma' = \phi'\cos\theta + \chi' e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2M_p}\phi}\sin\theta$$

$$\cos\theta = \frac{\phi'}{\sigma'}, \quad \sin\theta = \frac{\chi' e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2M_p}\phi}}{\sigma'}$$

$$\chi' = 0, \quad \theta = 0 \quad \sigma = \phi$$

$$s \propto \chi$$

 χ is a spectator, always corresponds to entropy direction

Entropy perturbation

$$S_{\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x (\sqrt{g} + \delta \sqrt{g}) e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}(\phi + \delta \phi)} (g^{\mu\nu} + \delta g^{\mu\nu}) \partial_{\mu} (\chi + \delta \chi) \partial_{\nu} (\chi + \delta \chi)$$

Quadratic action, considering $\partial_{\mu}\chi = 0$

$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi$$
$$z_0^2 = \frac{a^2 V_0 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi}}{3M_p^2 \mathcal{H}^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{6} - 1 \ , \ e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi} = \frac{(\lambda^2 - 6)M_p^2 \mathcal{H}^2}{2a^2 V_0}$$

$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x a^2 e^{-\frac{\lambda}{M_p}\phi} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \frac{(\lambda^2 - 6)M_p^2 \mathcal{H}^2}{2V_0} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{2}{1+3w} \frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2 - 2} \frac{1}{\eta}$$

$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \frac{1}{h^2 \eta^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi \qquad h = \frac{\lambda^2 - 2}{M_p} \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{2(\lambda^2 - 6)}}$$

Massless field living in an effective de Sitter space $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{h^2 \eta^2} \eta_{\mu\nu}$

$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\delta\chi}^{1/2} = \frac{h}{2\pi}$$
 scale-invariant, do not need w>>1

The conversion to adiabatic perturbation can only take place after the bounce via

(1) curvaton mechanism Lyth & Wands, PLB (2002)

(2) modulated preheating

$$V_{eff} \sim g(\chi) \xi \bar{\psi} \psi \qquad \Gamma \propto g^2$$

$$\zeta \sim \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\Gamma} \sim 2 \frac{d\ln g}{d\chi} \delta\chi$$

Dvali, Gruzinov, Zaldarriaga, PRD (2004); Kofman, astro-ph/0303614; Battefeld, PRD (2008) More general case, $\lambda \neq \alpha$

Attractor solution is unchanged

$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x a^2 e^{-\frac{\alpha}{M_p}\phi} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \delta\chi \partial_\nu \delta\chi$$
$$a \propto \eta^\beta \text{ with } \beta = -\frac{\lambda\alpha - 2}{\lambda^2 - 2} \qquad u \equiv q\delta\chi$$

$$u_k'' + (k^2 - \frac{q''}{q})u_k = u_k'' + (k^2 - \frac{\beta(\beta - 1)}{\eta^2})u_k = 0$$

$$u_k = \sqrt{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \eta H_{\nu}^{(1)}(-k\eta) \qquad \qquad \nu = \frac{1}{2} - \beta$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\delta\chi} \sim k^{2+2\beta}$$

$$p = \alpha - \lambda \qquad n_s = 1 - \frac{2\lambda p}{\lambda^2 - 2}$$

small red tilt spectrum 0

Another non-minimal coupling model

$$S_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} \frac{R}{M^2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial_{\nu} \chi$$
$$R = 6a''/a^3 = 6(\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)/a^2 = \frac{12(1-3w)}{(1+3w)^2 a^2 \eta^2}$$
$$S_{\delta\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \frac{1}{\tilde{h}^2 \eta^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \delta\chi \partial_{\nu} \delta\chi$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\delta\chi}^{1/2} = \frac{\tilde{h}}{2\pi} \qquad \tilde{h} = \frac{(1+3w)M}{2\sqrt{3(3w-1)}}$$

Non-Gaussianities were small, Fertig, Lehners and Mallwitz, arXiv:1310.8133

A general mechanism for producing scale-invariant perturbations and small non-Gaussianity in ekpyrotic models

1/11/14/14/12/03

Anna Ijjas*

Max-Planck-Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert-Einstein-Institute), 14476 Potsdam, Germany and Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

Jean-Luc Lehners

Max-Planck-Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert-Einstein-Institute), 14476 Potsdam, Germany

Paul J. Steinhardt

Department of Physics and Princeton Center for Theoretical Science, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA (Dated: April 7, 2014)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2(\phi) \partial_\mu \chi \partial^\mu \chi \right).$$

Analogies I: Conformal rolling model

 $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} [g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi^* - \frac{R}{6}|\phi|^2 - (-h^2|\phi|^4)]$

Conformal symmetry + U(1) symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}f\partial^{\mu}f - \frac{R}{12}f^2 + \frac{h^2}{4}f^4 + \frac{1}{2}f^2\partial_{\mu}\theta\partial^{\mu}\theta \qquad \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}fe^{i\theta}$$

f: conformal weight 1 θ

$$\theta$$
: conformal weight 0

 $\mathbf{1}V(\phi)$

Rubakov, JCAP (2009)

F = af $F'' = h^2 F^3$ Attractor solution $F = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{-hn}$

It breaks conformal symmetry spontaneously!

$$S_{\theta} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \int d^4x \frac{F^2}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \theta \partial_{\nu} \theta = \int d^4x \frac{1}{h^2 \eta^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \theta \partial_{\nu} \theta \qquad \qquad \mathcal{P}_{\theta}^{1/2} = \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

Analogies II: Galilean Genesis

Creminelli, Nicolis, Trincherini, JCAP (2010)

$$\mathcal{L} = f^2 e^{2\pi} (\partial \pi)^2 + \frac{f^3}{\Lambda^3} (\partial \pi)^2 \Box \pi + \frac{f^3}{2\Lambda^3} (\partial \pi)^4$$

Solution, emergent universe

$$e^{\pi} = -\frac{1}{H_0 t}, \ H_0^2 = \frac{2\Lambda^3}{3f}, -\infty < t < 0, \ g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

 $SO(4,2) \rightarrow SO(4,1)$

Coupling to a massless scalar

$$S_{\sigma} = \int d^4 x e^{2\pi} (\partial \sigma)^2 = \int d^4 x \frac{1}{H_0^2 t^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\nu} \sigma \qquad \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^{1/2} = \frac{H_0}{2\pi}$$

Phenomenological Lagrangian non-linearly realized conformal symmetry was constructed in Hinterbichler & Khoury, JCAP (2012); Hinterbichler, Joyce, Khoury, JCAP (2012)

Inflation Models with Flat Potentials

BICEP: Background Imaging of Cosmic Extragalactic Polarization (宇宙河外偏振背景成像)



BICEP2: E signal

Simulation: E from lensed-ACDM+noise





FIG. 10.— *Left:* The BICEP2 bandpowers plotted with the maximum likelihood lensed- Λ CDM+r = 0.20 model. The uncertainties are taken from that model and hence include sample variance on the *r* contribution. *Middle:* The constraint on the tensor-to-scalar ratio *r*. The maximum likelihood and $\pm 1 \sigma$ interval is $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$, as indicated by the vertical lines. *Right:* Histograms of the maximum likelihood values of *r* derived from lensed- Λ CDM+noise simulations with r = 0 (blue) and adding r = 0.2 (red). The maximum likelihood value of *r* for the real data is shown by the vertical line.

Well fit to single field slow roll inflation model

Primordial perturbations:

initial conditions seeded anisotropies and large scale structures

Scalar
$$P_s(k) = A_s(k_*) \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_s(k_*) - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_s(k_*)\ln(k/k_*)}$$

Tensor $P_t(k) = A_t(k_*) \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_t(k_*)}$ $r = P_t(k_*)/P_s(k_*)$

spectral indices: n_s , n_t

scale invariance: $n_s = 1, n_t = 0$



- T, E: dominated by scalar perturbation
- B: large scales (I<100) dominated by primordial gravitational waves; small scales dominated by lensing effect

Primordial perturbations from quantum fluctuations

Gravitational waves, spacetime ripples, quantized!



Slow-roll parameters
$$\epsilon, \eta, \xi$$
 $M_p^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G}$

$$\begin{split} \epsilon &\equiv -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{dH^{-1}}{dt} = \frac{3}{2}(1+w) \ll 1, \ |\delta| \equiv \left|\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}\right| \ll 1 \qquad \eta \equiv \epsilon - \delta, \ |\eta| \ll 1 \\ H^2 &= \frac{1}{3M_p^2}(\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V) \simeq \frac{V}{3M_p^2} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V_{\phi} = 0, \ 3H\dot{\phi} + V_{\phi} \simeq 0 \\ \epsilon &\simeq \frac{M_p^2}{2}\left(\frac{V_{\phi}}{V}\right)^2, \ \eta \simeq M_p^2 \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{V} \qquad \xi \equiv M_p^4 \frac{V_{\phi}V_{\phi\phi\phi}}{V^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2, \eta^2) \end{split}$$

e-folding number

 $N \equiv \ln(\frac{a_f}{a_*}) = \int_t^{t_f} H(t')dt' \simeq \frac{1}{M_\pi^2} \int_{\phi}^{\phi_*} \frac{V}{V_\phi} d\phi$ $\epsilon, \eta \sim N^{-1}, \ \xi \sim N^{-2}$ $k_* = a_* H_*$ $\frac{k_*}{a_0} = 0.002 \text{Mpc}^{-1} \text{ or } 0.05 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ Pivot scale $\ln(\frac{k_*}{a_0H_0}) = \ln\frac{H_*}{H_0} + \ln\frac{a_*}{a_0} = \ln\frac{H_*}{H_0} + \ln\frac{a_*}{a_f} + \ln\frac{a_f}{a_{re}} + \ln\frac{a_{re}}{a_{ea}} + \ln\frac{a_{eq}}{a_0}$ $N = -\ln(\frac{k_*}{a_0H_0}) + \ln(\sqrt{\frac{V_*}{3M_n^2}H_{eq}^{-1}}) + \ln(219\Omega_0h) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\frac{\rho_{eq}}{\rho_{re}} + \frac{1}{3(1+w_{re})}\ln\frac{\rho_{re}}{\rho_f}$

 $N \sim 50 - 60$ Uncertainty is from reheating

$$(a\delta\phi_k)'' + (k^2 - \frac{z''}{z})(a\delta\phi_k) = 0, \text{ spatially} - \text{flat gauge } \psi = 0$$
$$(ah^{+,\times})'' + (k^2 - \frac{a''}{a})(ah^{+,\times}) = 0 \qquad \qquad z = a\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}$$

Vacuum fluctuations, Bunch-Davies vacuum

$$a\delta\phi_{k}, ah^{+,\times} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}e^{-ik\eta}, \frac{k}{aH} \rightarrow \infty$$
Power spectra
$$P_{s}(k) = \left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^{2}\Big|_{k=aH} \qquad r = \frac{P_{t}}{P_{s}} = 16\epsilon$$

$$P_{t}(k) = \frac{8}{M_{pl}^{2}} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^{2}\Big|_{k=aH} \qquad P_{t} = \frac{2V}{3\pi^{2}M_{p}^{4}}\Big|_{k=aH}$$
Slow-roll
$$P_{s} = \frac{V}{24\pi^{2}M_{p}^{4}\epsilon}\Big|_{k=aH} \qquad P_{t} = \frac{2V}{3\pi^{2}M_{p}^{4}}\Big|_{k=aH}$$

$$n_s - 1 = \frac{dP_s}{d\ln k} = -6\epsilon + 2\eta \qquad n_t = \frac{dP_t}{d\ln k} = -2\epsilon \qquad \alpha_s = \frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} = 16\epsilon\eta - 24\epsilon^2 - 2\xi$$

Consistence relation

$$r = -8n_t$$

Slow-rolling gives

$$\alpha_s \sim 10^{-3}$$

Planckian excursion

$$\frac{d\phi}{dN}| = M_p^2 |\frac{V_\phi}{V}| = \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}} M_p$$

Lyth bound (Lyth, PRL (1997)) $|\Delta \phi| = |\phi_f - \phi_*| = N \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}} M_p$

$$r = 0.2, \ |\Delta\phi| \simeq (8.0 - -9.5)M_p$$

$$\phi > M_p, \ V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + \sum_n \lambda_n \frac{\phi^{4+n}}{M_p^n}$$

Out of control, spoils the flatness of the potential

Wilson coefficients: $\lambda_n \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

Linde, hep-th/0503203; arXiv:0705.0164; Kehagias and Riotto, arXiv:1403.4811



One loop corrections from quantum gravity Smolin, PLB (1980) $\Delta V = \lambda_1 V_{\phi\phi} \frac{V}{M_p^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_p^2} + \lambda_2 \frac{V^2}{M_p^4} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_p^2} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1 \frac{V_{\phi\phi}}{M_p^2} + \tilde{\lambda}_2 \frac{V}{M_p^4})V$

 ϕ itself does not have physical meaning, enters in the theory only through V and $V_{\phi\phi}$

 $V_{\phi\phi} \ll M_p^2, \ V \ll M_p^4 \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_1, \ \tilde{\lambda}_2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

Symmetries to protect the flatness of the inflaton potential

1, supersymmetry

SUSY provides flat directions, but is broken during inflation due to positive vacuum energy.

Supergravity corrections give a mass~H to any flat directions, Coperland, Liddle, Lyth, Stewart and Wands, PRD (1994)

Spoils slow-roll condition $|\eta| < 1, \ m = \sqrt{V_{\phi\phi}} < H$

2, shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + C$ PNGB

Natural inflation model, Freese, Frieman and Olinto, PRL (1990)

$$V = \Lambda^4 (1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f}) , \ m \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{f}, \ H \simeq \frac{\Lambda^2}{\sqrt{3}M_p}$$

f : spontaneous breaking scale of global symmetry

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_p^2}{2f^2} \frac{1 + \cos\frac{\phi}{f}}{1 - \cos\frac{\phi}{f}} \qquad \eta = \frac{M_p^2}{f^2} \frac{\cos\frac{\phi}{f}}{1 - \cos\frac{\phi}{f}} \qquad \delta = \epsilon - \eta = \frac{M_p^2}{2f^2}$$

 $|\delta| \ll 1, \ f \gg M_p/\sqrt{2}$ Outside the range of validity of EFT

Quantum gravity effects, e.g., virtual black holes, break global symmetries.

They are proportional to $(\frac{f}{M_p})^n$, unsuppressed.

R.Kallosh, A.Linde, D.Linde and L.Susskind, PRD(1995); M.Kamionkowski and J.March-Russell, PLB(1992); S.Barr and D.Seckel, PRD(1992) Freese and Kinney, arXiv: 1403.5277



Extranatural inflation, extra dimensional version of natural inflation Arkani-Hamed, Cheng, Creminelli and Randall, PRL(2003)

5d model, extra dimension compactified on a circle R Abelian field A_a

Extra component A_5 propagates in the bulk

no local potential due to higher dimensional gauge invariance shift symmetry, similar to 4d PNGB

Non-local potential for Wilson loop $e^{i\theta} = e^{i \oint A_5 dx^5}$ in the presence of charged fields in the bulk

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2 g_4^2 (2\pi R)^2} (\partial \theta)^2 - V(\theta) + \cdots \qquad g_4^2 = g_5^2 / (2\pi R)$$

Massless charged fields, one-loop

$$V(\theta) = -\frac{1}{R^4} \sum_{I} (-1)^{F_I} \frac{3}{64\pi^6} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(nq\theta)}{n^5} ,$$

Boson $F_I = 0$ Fermion $F_I = 1$

Hosotani, PLB(1983); Hatanaka, Inami and Lim, MPLA(1998); Antoniadis, Benakli and Quiros, New J. Phys. (2001); von Gersdorff, Irgens and Quiros, NPB(2002); Cheng, Matchev and Schmaltz, PRD(2002)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{3}{64\pi^6 R^4} (1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f_{\text{eff}}}) \qquad \phi = f_{\text{eff}} \theta$$
$$f_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2\pi g_{\text{4d}} R}$$

For sufficiently small $g_4, f_{\text{eff}} \gg M_p$

Quantum gravity corrections are negligible as long as $R^{-1} < M_p$

Virtual black holes cannot spoil gauge symmetry, non-local effects suppressed by $e^{-2\pi M_5 R}$

Similar consideration for quintessence dark energy

"Quintessence and the rest of the world", S. Carroll, PRL (1998)

Nearly massless $m_{\phi} \sim \sqrt{V_{\phi\phi}} < H_0 \sim 10^{-33} \text{eV}$

Hypothetical couplings (besides the gravity) to SM particles: 1, direct coupling

$$c\frac{\phi}{M}\mathcal{L}(\bar{\psi}\psi,F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma},G_{\rho\sigma}G^{\rho\sigma},....)$$

A. Long range force, violates equivalence principle, constrained to $c \leq 10^{-4} (M/M_{pl});$

B. Instability under quantum corrections, $\delta m_{\phi} \simeq \frac{\Lambda^2}{4\pi M} \sim 10^{-7} \text{eV} >> m_{\phi}$, $M \sim M_{pl}, \ \Lambda \sim \Lambda_{ew}$.

2, derivative coupling, pseudo-Goldstone originated from U(1) symmetry breaking

$$\frac{c}{M}\partial_{\mu}\phi\mathcal{O}^{\mu}(\psi, F_{\rho\sigma}, G_{\rho\sigma},)$$

A. shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + const.$, guarantees the flatness of the potential; B. propagates spin-dependent force, short range, much weaker constraint from astrophysics $M \ge 10^{10} \text{Gev}, PDG.$

Quintessence as a PNGB proposed by Frieman, Hill, Stbbins and Waga, PRL (1995)

$$V = \Lambda^4 (1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f}) , \ m \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{f}, \ H \simeq \frac{\Lambda^2}{\sqrt{3}M_p}$$

``Gauge Quintessence", Pilo, Rayner and Riotto, PRD(2003)

Massive charged fields in the bulk, one-loop

$$V(\theta) = \frac{1}{128\pi^6 R^4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[V(r_a^F, \theta) - V(r_a^B, \theta) \right]$$
 Delgado, Pomarol and Quiros, PRD (1999)

$$V(r_a, \theta) = x_a^2 \operatorname{Li}_3(r_a e^{-x_a}) + 3x_a \operatorname{Li}_4(r_a e^{-x_a}) + 3\operatorname{Li}_5(r_a e^{-x_a}) + h.c. ,$$

with

$$r_a = e^{iq_a\theta}, \quad x_a = 2\pi R M_a,$$

and the poly-logarithm function $\operatorname{Li}_k(z)$ are

$$\operatorname{Li}_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^k} .$$

astro-ph/0302479, PRD(2003)

An inflation model with large variations in spectral index

Bo Feng^a, Mingzhe Li^a, Ren-Jie Zhang^{b,c}, and Xinmin Zhang^a ^aInstitute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100039, P. R. China ^bSchool of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA and ^cInstitute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P. R. China.

Consider two fields coupling to
$$A_5$$
 $M_1 = 0, M_2 > R^{-1}$

$$V(\theta) = -\frac{3}{64\pi^6 R^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} \left[(-)^{F_1} \frac{\cos(nq_1\theta)}{n^2} + (-)^{F_2} e^{-nx_2} \left(\frac{x_2^2}{3} + \frac{x_2}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \cos(nq_2\theta) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V_0 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{q_1 \phi}{f_{\text{eff}}}\right) - \sigma \cos\left(\frac{q_2 \phi}{f_{\text{eff}}}\right) \right]$$

where

$$\sigma = (-)^{F_2 + 1} e^{-x_2} \left(\frac{x_2^2}{3} + x_2 + 1 \right), \quad V_0 = \frac{3}{64\pi^6 R^4}$$

Parameters

$$\mu = q_1 M_p / f_{\text{eff}} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1 - 1), \ \kappa = q_2 / q_1 \gg 1, \ \sigma \ll 1$$

Extranatural inflation modulated by rapid oscillations Slow-rolling is slightly broken



Tension between BICEP2 and Planck

 $r_{0.002} < 0.11$ (95%; no running) $r_{0.002} < 0.26$ (95%; including running) $r_{0.002} = (\frac{A_t}{A_s})_{k=0.002Mpc^{-1}}$



 $dn_s/d\ln k = -0.028 \pm 0.009(68\%)$



Fitting to BICEP2 and Planck is ongoing

Conclusions

- If the results of BICEP2 are not true, alternatives to inflation are still alive and there are various mechanisms to generate nearly scale-invariant density perturbations
- If BICEP2 is confirmed, single field inflationary universe is the most natural scenario for the very early universe. The question left is its validity within the effective field theory.