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I. Evaluation of higher loop corrections to Quantum Field Theory, for the new precision test of the Standard Model at future collider experiments CERN ....
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## Introduction perturbative QFT

$$
Z[J]=\int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int \mathrm{~d}^{D} \times(\mathcal{L}+J \phi)\right] .
$$

E.g. with $\mathcal{L}=\int \mathrm{d}^{D} x\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \phi^{2}-\frac{1}{4!} \lambda \phi^{4}\right]$.

All physical correlators are of the form

$$
\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) . . \phi\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle=Z[J]^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J\left(x_{1}\right)}\right) . .\left.\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) Z[J]\right|_{J=0}
$$

In interacting theories $\lambda \neq 0$ this is expanded asymptotically in
Feynman graphs

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \phi\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle & ={\underset{\lambda}{\lambda}}_{X}+\underset{\lambda^{2}}{\gamma}+\underset{\lambda^{2}}{x}+\underbrace{}_{\lambda^{2}}+ \\
& +\underbrace{}_{\lambda^{3}}+\ldots+\underbrace{}_{\lambda^{4}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Introduction perturbative QFT

Realistic theories: Probability for $\mathrm{e}^{-} e^{+}$to annihilate to two photons $P\left(e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right) \sim\left|\mathcal{A}\left(e^{-} e^{+} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right)\right|^{2}, \alpha \sim \frac{1}{137}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(e^{-} e^{t} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\right)= & \vec{y}+\ldots+\kappa(\vec{y}+\ldots) \\
& +\kappa^{2}(+r e+\cdots)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Scalar part e.g. for e.g. the box integral I: Propagators $\frac{1}{q^{2}-m^{2}+i \cdot 0}$
$D=D_{c r}-2 \epsilon, I=\sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} I_{k} \epsilon^{n}$ with $I_{k}$ functions of masses and Lorentz invariant products of the external momenta that we need to know!
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## Dictionary Feynman graphs/amplitudes and geometry

| Perturbative QFT | Geometry X | Differential eq. | Arithmetic Geometry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maximal cut Feynman integral | Period integral $\underline{\square}$ ( $\epsilon$-deformed) | Homogeneous Gauss Manin $(d-A(z)) \underline{\Pi}=0$ | Motive defined by I-adic coh $H_{e t}^{k}\left(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ |
|  | $\circlearrowleft$ Monodromy group $\in \Gamma(\mathbb{Z})$; irreducible ? |  | $\checkmark$ Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K) \quad$ irreducible? |
| actual Feynman integral | Chain integral $\epsilon$ deformed | Inhomogeneous Gauss Manin connection $(d-A(z)) \underline{\Pi}=B(z)$ | Extended motive |

## Gauss Manin connection and sub sectors

One way to get the Gauss-Manin connection and the inhomogeneous term is to use the integration by barts relations IBP relation between so called master integrals. Consider I-loop Feynman integrals in general dimensions $D \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{x}, D):=\int \prod_{r=1}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{D} k_{r}}{i \pi^{\frac{D}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\nu_{j}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{j}=q_{j}^{2}-m_{j}^{2}+i \cdot 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$ are the propagators, $q_{j}$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ momenta through $D_{j}, m_{j}^{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$are masses, $i \cdot 0$ indicates the choice of contour/branchcut in $\mathbb{C}$. Subject to momentum conservation the $q_{j}$ are linear in the external momenta $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{E}$, $\sum_{i=j}^{E} p_{j}=0$ and the loop momenta $k_{r}$. We defined $\epsilon:=\frac{D_{c r}-D}{2}$.

## Master Integrals and integration by parts relations

The Feynman integral depends besides $D(\epsilon)$ on dot products of $p_{i}$ and the masses $m_{j}^{2}$, written compactly in a vector $\underline{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}\right)=\left(p_{i_{1}} \cdot p_{i_{2}}, m_{j}^{2}\right)$ and dimensional analysis of $\underline{I_{\underline{\nu}}}$ shows that it depends only on the ratios of two parameters $x_{i}$, we chose

$$
x_{k}:=\frac{w_{k}}{w_{N}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq k<N
$$

and label now the parameters of the integrals $I_{\underline{\nu}}$ by the dimensionless parameters $\underline{x}$.

## Master Integrals and integration by parts relations

The propagator exponents and $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ span a lattice $(\underline{\nu}, D) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. The $I_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{x}, D)$ are called master integrals.

## Master Integrals and integration by parts relations

The propagator exponents and $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ span a lattice $(\underline{\nu}, D) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. The $I_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{x}, D)$ are called master integrals.

The integration by parts (IBP) identities

$$
\int \prod_{r=1}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{D} k_{r}}{i \pi^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{k}^{\mu}}\left(q_{l}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\nu_{j}}}\right)=0 .
$$

relate the master integrals with different exponents $\underline{\nu}$.

## Master Integrals and integration by parts relations

The propagator exponents and $D \in \mathbb{Z}$ span a lattice $(\underline{\nu}, D) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. The $I_{\underline{\nu}}(\underline{x}, D)$ are called master integrals.

The integration by parts (IBP) identities

$$
\int \prod_{r=1}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{D} k_{r}}{i \pi^{\frac{D}{2}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{k}^{\mu}}\left(q_{l}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\nu_{j}}}\right)=0 .
$$

relate the master integrals with different exponents $\underline{\nu}$.
There is a finite region in the lattice that contains all non-vanishing master integrals. In a basis of master integrals one can express derivatives w.r.t. the $z_{k}$ as a linear combination rational coefficients by the IBP relations.
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The integration by parts relations correspond to the Griffith reduction formula.
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Among the elements in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{p}$ and, in particular, for the master integrals one can define sectors and a semi-ordering on the latter by defining a map

$$
\underline{\nu} \mapsto \underline{\vartheta}(\underline{\nu})=:\left(\theta\left(\nu_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq p} .
$$

where $\theta$ is the Heaviside step function. The semi-ordering is then defined by $\underline{\vartheta}(\underline{\nu}) \leq \underline{\vartheta}(\underline{\tilde{\nu}})$, iff $\theta\left(\nu_{j}\right) \leq \theta\left(\tilde{\nu}_{j}\right), \forall j$. This defines an inclusive order on subgraphs with less propagators and therefore simpler topology.

## IBP relation summary:

The IBP relations characterise a suitable finite set of master integrals

$$
I_{\underline{\prime}}(\underline{x}, D):=\int \prod_{r=1}^{l} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{D} k_{r}}{i \pi^{\frac{D}{2}}} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{D_{j}^{\nu_{j}}}
$$

with $D_{j}=q_{j}^{2}-m_{j}^{2}+i \cdot 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$ propagators and $(\underline{\nu}, D)$ in a finite region in $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, by a first order Gauss Manin connection

$$
d \underline{l}(\underline{x}, \epsilon)=\mathbf{A}(\underline{x}, \epsilon) \underline{l}(\underline{x}, \epsilon)
$$

$\epsilon=\left(D_{c r}-D\right) / 2$.

## Master Integral Basis Change possibly to canonical form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{I}(\underline{x}, \epsilon) \rightarrow \underline{I}^{\text {better }}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon)=R_{0}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon) \underline{I}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon) \\
& \mathbf{A}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)^{\text {better }}=\left[R_{0}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon) \mathbf{A}+d R_{0}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)\right] R_{0}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
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## Master Integral Basis Change possibly to canonical form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{I}(\underline{x}, \epsilon) \rightarrow \underline{I}^{\text {better }}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon)=R_{0}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon) \underline{I}(\underline{z}(x) ; \epsilon) \\
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& \mathbf{A}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)^{\text {best }}=\left[R_{n}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon) \mathbf{A}^{n-1}+d R_{n}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)\right] R_{n}(\underline{z} ; \epsilon)^{-1}=\epsilon A(\underline{z}) \\
& \left.\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 
\\
& \mathbf{0} & & & 0 & & & 0 & \\
* & \cdots & * & A_{11}^{1} & \cdots & A_{1 r_{1}}^{1} & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & 0 & \\
* & \cdots & * & A_{r_{1}}^{1} & \cdots & A_{r_{1} r_{1}}^{1} & & & \\
& \vdots & & & \ddots & & & & \\
* & \cdots & * & & & & A_{11}^{n} & \cdots & A_{1 r_{1}}^{n} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & 0 & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & \cdots & * & & & & A_{r_{2}}^{n} & \cdots & A_{r_{n} r_{n}}^{n}
\end{array}\right)\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
I^{\text {sub }} \\
\Pi_{11}^{1} \\
\vdots \\
\Pi_{r_{1}}^{1} \\
\vdots \\
\Pi_{n_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\Pi_{n_{r}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The blocks

Here $A_{i j}^{k}(z)$ are $d \log (\operatorname{alg}(z))$ and the $*$ are rational functions in $z$ and we typically have a situation, where the l-loop block in this improved IBP first order flat connection above is described by period integrals in the sense of Kontsevich and Zagier fullfilling the Gauss-Manin flat connection of a geometry $X$, which is typically a (non-smooth) Calabi-Yau manifold.
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Example: From the ( $1+1$ )-loop ice-cone graph

it is clear that it contains I-loop banana graph as block(s).

## Dictionary for the blocks

|  | $I=(n+1)$-loop in block <br> integrals in $D_{c r}$ dimensions | Calabi-Yau (CY) geometry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Maximal cut integrals <br> in $D_{c r}$ dimensions | (n,0)-form periods of CY <br> manifolds or CY motives |
| 2 | Dimensionless ratios $z_{i}=m_{i}^{2} / p^{2}$ | Unobstructed compl. moduli of $M_{n}$, or <br> equi'ly Kähler moduli of the mirror $W_{n}$ |
| 3 | Integration-by-parts (IBP) reduction | Griffiths reduction method |
| 4 | Integrand-basis for maximal cuts of <br> of master integrals in $D_{c r}$ | Middle (hyper) cohomology $H^{n}\left(M_{n}\right)$ |
| $M_{n}$ |  |  |
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- We use $S U(n)$ rather then $\subset S U(n)$ to avoid trivial products of lower CY n-folds in the generalisation.
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$\Rightarrow 1)$ cubic $d=3$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2} w y^{2}=4 x^{3}-g_{2}(z) x w^{2}-g_{3}(z) w^{3}$ is complex family of elliptic curves with complex modulus $z$.
$\Rightarrow 2$ ) quartic in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a $C Y 2$-fold with 19 complex moduli.
$\Rightarrow 3)$ quintic in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ is a CY 3 -fold with 101 complex moduli.

## More on constructions of Calabi-Yau n-folds

Number of complex moduli \#mon - $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{*}\right)\right|$ :

1) $\left(x_{i}^{3} ; 3, x_{i}^{2} x_{j} ; 6, \prod x_{i} ; 1\right): 10-9=1$,

## More on constructions of Calabi-Yau n-folds

Number of complex moduli \#mon - $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{*}\right)\right|$ :
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2) $\left(x_{i}^{4} ; 4, x_{i}^{3} x_{j} ; 12, x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2} ; 6, x_{i}^{2} x_{j} x_{k} ; 12, \prod x_{i} ; 1\right): 35-16=19$,
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## More on constructions of Calabi-Yau n-folds

Number of complex moduli \#mon - $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{*}\right)\right|$ :

1) $\left(x_{i}^{3} ; 3, x_{i}^{2} x_{j} ; 6, \prod x_{i} ; 1\right): 10-9=1$,
2) $\left(x_{i}^{4} ; 4, x_{i}^{3} x_{j} ; 12, x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2} ; 6, x_{i}^{2} x_{j} x_{k} ; 12, \prod x_{i} ; 1\right): 35-16=19$,
3) Likewise $126-25=101$.

Euler number (Gauss Bonnet): $\chi=\int_{M_{n}} c_{n}(T M)=c_{n} d$,

1) $\chi=0, \chi=2 g-2 \Rightarrow g=1$ one topological type $E$.
2) By $c_{2}(T M)=6 H^{2} \Rightarrow \chi=24$. HRR for arithmetic genus of surface $\chi_{0}=\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} h^{0, i}=\frac{1}{12} \int_{M_{2}}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right)$. Now by definition $h^{00}=h^{02}=1, h^{01}=0$ because of $S U(2)$ hol, i.e. $\chi_{0}\left(M_{2}\right)=2$ and since $c_{1}=0 \Rightarrow \chi\left(M_{2}\right)=24$ and we have only one topological type the $K 3$ surface
3) By $c_{3}(T M)=-40 H^{3} \Rightarrow \chi=-200$. Hirzebruch

Riemann Roch $\chi_{0}=\frac{1}{24} \int_{M_{3}} c_{1} c_{2}=1-0+0-1 \checkmark$, $\chi_{1}=-h^{11}+h^{21}=\frac{1}{24} \int_{M_{3}} c_{1} c_{2}-12 c_{3} \Rightarrow \chi=2\left(h^{11}-h^{21}\right) \checkmark$

## More on constructions of Calabi-Yau n-folds

Theorem (C.T.C Wall): The topological type of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold $M$ is fixed by their Hodge numbers $\left(h_{21}, h_{11}\right)$, their triple intersection $D_{i} \cap D_{j} \cap D_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_{2}(T M) \cdots D_{k}, D_{k} \in H_{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.
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CICYs: Complete intersections: The vanishing locus of $r$ polynomials $P_{k}=0, k=1, \ldots, r$ in $\mathbb{P}=\otimes_{l=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}_{l}^{n_{l}}$ define a $\mathrm{CY}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m} n_{l}-r\right)$ -fold if $\sum_{k=1}^{r} d_{k l}=n_{l}+1, \forall I=1, \ldots, m$, with $d_{k l}$ are degrees of the $k$ 'th polynomial in the $I^{\prime}$ th factor: 2 d n -1 loop bananas.
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## constructions:

CICYs: Complete intersections: The vanishing locus of $r$ polynomials $P_{k}=0, k=1, \ldots, r$ in $\mathbb{P}=\otimes_{l=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}_{l}^{n_{l}}$ define a $C Y\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m} n_{l}-r\right)$ -fold if $\sum_{k=1}^{r} d_{k l}=n_{l}+1, \forall I=1, \ldots, m$, with $d_{k l}$ are degrees of the $k$ 'th polynomial in the $I^{\prime}$ th factor: 2 d n -1 loop bananas.
$B C s$ : Branched covers: Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $n$-dimensional Fano variety with positive canonical class $K(\mathbb{P})=c_{1}(\mathbb{P})>0$ then a $d$-fold cover that is branched at $\frac{d}{d-1} K(\mathbb{P})$ is a non necessarily smooth CY $n$-fold: $\mathbb{P}=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{n}$ and $d=2,3$ are relevant for $2 d$ n-loop

## General properties of Calabi-Yau n-fold fold families

Theorem Tian/Todorov: The complex moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{c s}(M)$ of a CY $n$-fold $M$ is parametrized for by $h^{n-1,1}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(H^{n-1,1}(M)\right)$ globally unobstructed complex deformation parameters $z$, i.e. is a manifold of complex dimension $h^{n-1,1}=: r\left(E\right.$ and $K_{3}$ are special).
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Example: We counted $101=h^{2,1}$ complex deformation parameters for the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ and by the Lefshetz hyperplane theorem $h^{1,1}=1$ (inherited from $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ ), hence $\chi=2\left(h^{1,1}-h^{21}\right)=-200$ in accordance with Gauss-Bonnet.
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Theorem Tian/Todorov: The complex moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{c s}(M)$ of a CY n-fold $M$ is parametrized for by $h^{n-1,1}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(H^{n-1,1}(M)\right)$ globally unobstructed complex deformation parameters $z$, i.e. is a manifold of complex dimension $h^{n-1,1}=: r\left(E\right.$ and $K_{3}$ are special).

Example: We counted $101=h^{2,1}$ complex deformation parameters for the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ and by the Lefshetz hyperplane theorem $h^{1,1}=1$ (inherited from $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ ), hence $\chi=2\left(h^{1,1}-h^{21}\right)=-200$ in accordance with Gauss-Bonnet.

Application: The complex moduli dependent period integrals on CY n-fold families generalize elliptic functions. They are identified for important examples with the maximal cut Feynman higher-loop integrals, where the complex moduli $z$ are identified with the scale invariant physical parameters e.g. $z_{i}=p^{2} / m_{i}^{2}, \ldots$.

## Periods on Calabi-Yau n-folds

Periods integrals

$$
\Pi_{i j}(\underline{z})=\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \gamma^{j}(\underline{z})
$$

define a non-degenerate pairing between between (middle) homology and (middle) cohomology well defined by the theorem of Stokes:

$$
\Pi: H_{n}\left(M_{n}, \mathbb{K}\right) \times H^{n}\left(M_{n}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} .
$$

It is possible and natural to have $\mathbb{K}$ to be $\mathbb{Z}$. There is an intersection pairing

$$
\Sigma: H_{n}\left(M_{n}, \mathbb{K}\right) \times H_{n}\left(M_{n}, \mathbb{K}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{K},
$$

that can be made in particular integral. If $n$ is odd $\Sigma$ is antisymmetric and can be made symplectic. If $n$ is even $\Sigma$ is a symmetric on the even self dual lattice $H_{n}\left(M_{n}, \mathbb{K}\right)$. E.g. for $K 3 b_{2}=22$ and $\sigma=b_{2}^{+}-b_{2}^{-}=\frac{1}{3} \int_{M_{2}} c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}=-16$ hence $b_{2}$ has signature $(3,19)$ and is $E_{8}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

If $n$ is odd we fix can integral symplectic basis $\Gamma=\left\{A_{l}, B^{\prime}\right\}$, $I=0, \ldots, r$ with $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\underline{\Gamma})=H_{n}(W, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
A_{l} \cap A_{J}=B^{\prime} \cap B^{J}=0, \quad A_{l} \cap B^{J}=-B^{J} \cap A_{I}=\delta_{l}^{J} .
$$

It is clearly defined up only to an $\operatorname{Sp}\left(b_{n}(M), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ choice.
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Exp: Calabi-Yau 1-fold: $p_{3}=w y^{2}-x(x-w)(x-w z)=0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$
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\Omega(z)=\oint \frac{2 d x \wedge d y}{p_{3}}=\frac{d x}{y}, \partial_{z} \Omega(z) \sim \frac{x d x}{y}
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If $n$ is odd we fix can integral symplectic basis $\underline{\Gamma}=\left\{A_{l}, B^{\prime}\right\}$, $I=0, \ldots, r$ with $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)=H_{n}(W, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
A_{I} \cap A_{J}=B^{\prime} \cap B^{J}=0, \quad A_{I} \cap B^{J}=-B^{J} \cap A_{I}=\delta_{l}^{J} .
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It is clearly defined up only to an $\operatorname{Sp}\left(b_{n}(M), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ choice.

Exp: Calabi-Yau 1-fold: $p_{3}=w y^{2}-x(x-w)(x-w z)=0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$


$$
\Omega(z)=\oint \frac{2 d x \wedge d y}{p_{3}}=\frac{d x}{y}, \partial_{z} \Omega(z) \sim \frac{x d x}{y}
$$

$$
E_{1}(z)=\oint_{A} \Omega, E_{2}(z)=\oint_{B} \Omega \quad \text { Elliptic integrals. }
$$

Well studied in part because they solve Keplers problem
Periods annihilated by Picard-Fuchs (1881) 2cd order linear operator $L^{(2)}$.

If $n$ is odd we fix can integral symplectic basis $\Gamma=\left\{A_{l}, B^{\prime}\right\}$, $I=0, \ldots, r$ with $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)=H_{n}(W, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
A_{l} \cap A_{J}=B^{\prime} \cap B^{J}=0, \quad A_{l} \cap B^{J}=-B^{J} \cap A_{l}=\delta_{l}^{J} .
$$

It is clearly defined up only to an $\operatorname{Sp}\left(b_{n}(M), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ choice.

Exp: Calabi-Yau 1-fold: $p_{3}=w y^{2}-x(x-w)(x-w z)=0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$


$$
\Omega(z)=\oint \frac{2 d x \wedge d y}{p_{3}}=\frac{d x}{y}, \partial_{z} \Omega(z) \sim \frac{x d x}{y}
$$

$$
E_{1}(z)=\oint_{A} \Omega, E_{2}(z)=\oint_{B} \Omega \quad \text { Elliptic integrals. }
$$

Well studied in part because they solve Keplers problem
Periods annihilated by Picard-Fuchs (1881) 2cd order linear operator $L^{(2)}$.

$$
\mathcal{L} \int_{\Gamma} \Omega=\left[(1-z) \partial_{z}^{2}+(1-2 z) \partial_{z}-\frac{1}{4}\right] \int_{\Gamma} \Omega=0 .
$$

## Period geometry on CY n-fold

The main constraints which govern the period geometry of CY-folds are the Riemann bilinear relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-K}=i^{n^{2}} \int_{M_{n}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defining the real positive exponential of the Kähler potential $K(z)$ for the Weil-Peterssen metric $G_{i \bar{\jmath}}=\partial_{z_{i}} \bar{\partial}_{\overline{z_{\bar{\jmath}}}} K(z)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{c s}\left(M_{n}\right)$.

## Period geometry on CY n-fold

The main constraints which govern the period geometry of CY-folds are the Riemann bilinear relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-K}=i^{n^{2}} \int_{M_{n}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defining the real positive exponential of the Kähler potential $K(z)$ for the Weil-Peterssen metric $G_{i \bar{\jmath}}=\partial_{z_{i}} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}_{\bar{j}}} K(z)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{c s}\left(M_{n}\right)$. As well as from relations on holomorphic bilinears and their derivatives that follow from Griffiths transversality

$$
\int_{M_{n}} \Omega \wedge \underline{\partial}_{l_{k}}^{k} \Omega=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } k<n  \tag{3}\\
C_{l_{n}}(z) & \text { if } k=n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\underline{\partial}_{l_{k}}^{k} \Omega=\partial_{z_{l_{1}}} \ldots \partial_{z_{l_{k}}} \Omega \in F^{n-k}:=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{k} H^{n-p, p}(W)$ are arbitrary combinations of derivatives w.r.t. to the $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$.

## Period geometry on CY n-fold

The $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ are rational functions labelled by $I_{n}$ up to permutations. The differential ideals $\mathcal{L} \vec{\Pi}=0$ also determine the $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ up to a multiplicative normalisation
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The $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ are rational functions labelled by $I_{n}$ up to permutations. The differential ideals $\mathcal{L} \vec{\Pi}=0$ also determine the $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ up to a multiplicative normalisation
Remark 1:W.r.t the Hodge decomposition the pairings (2) and (3) have the property that if $\alpha_{m, n} \in H^{m, n}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\beta_{p, q} \in H^{r, s}\left(M_{n}\right)$ then $\int_{W} \alpha_{m, n} \wedge \beta_{p, q}=0$ unless $m+p=n+q=3$.

## Period geometry on CY n-fold

The $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ are rational functions labelled by $I_{n}$ up to permutations. The differential ideals $\mathcal{L} \vec{\Pi}=0$ also determine the $C_{I_{n}}(z)$ up to a multiplicative normalisation
Remark 1:W.r.t the Hodge decomposition the pairings (2) and (3) have the property that if $\alpha_{m, n} \in H^{m, n}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\beta_{p, q} \in H^{r, s}\left(M_{n}\right)$ then $\int_{W} \alpha_{m, n} \wedge \beta_{p, q}=0$ unless $m+p=n+q=3$.
Remark 2: In terms of a basis of periods compatible with $\Sigma$ they can be written as

$$
\int_{M_{n}} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}=\vec{\Pi}^{\dagger} \Sigma \vec{\Pi}, \quad \int_{M_{n}} \Omega \wedge \underline{\partial}_{l_{k}}^{k} \Omega=-\vec{\Pi}^{T} \Sigma \underline{\partial}_{l_{k}}^{k} \vec{\Pi}
$$

## (Relative) Calabi-Yau periods via Symanzik representation

The GM identification would be of limited use if there would not be direct ways to associate the block with a geometry $X$. E.g. in the Symanzik representation the contribution of an l-loop graph yields an integral with a rational integrand defined by the graph polynomials $\mathcal{U}(\underline{x})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\underline{x}, \underline{p}, \underline{m})$, $\underline{p}$ independent momenta, $\underline{m}$ masses

$$
I_{\sigma_{n-1}}(\underline{p}, \underline{m})=\int_{\sigma_{n-1}} \prod_{i} x_{i}^{\nu_{i}-1} \frac{\mathcal{U}^{\omega-\frac{D}{2}}}{\mathcal{F}^{\omega}} \mu_{n-1}
$$
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The GM identification would be of limited use if there would not be direct ways to associate the block with a geometry $X$. E.g. in the Symanzik representation the contribution of an l-loop graph yields an integral with a rational integrand defined by the graph polynomials $\mathcal{U}(\underline{x})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\underline{x}, \underline{p}, \underline{m})$, $\underline{p}$ independent momenta, $\underline{m}$ masses

$$
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{i}-I D / 2, I \# \text { of loops }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \text { \# of edges, } \quad \nu_{i} \text { their multiplicity } \quad D \text { space time dim } \\
& I_{\sigma_{n-1}}(\underline{p}, \underline{m})=\int_{\sigma_{n-1}} \prod_{i} x_{i}^{\nu_{i}-1} \frac{\mathcal{U}^{\omega-\frac{D}{2}}}{\mathcal{F}^{\omega}} \mu_{n-1} \\
& \sigma_{n-1}=\left\{\left[x_{1}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \text { an open domain. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## (Relative) Calabi-Yau periods via Symanzik representation

The GM identification would be of limited use if there would not be direct ways to associate the block with a geometry $X$. E.g. in the Symanzik representation the contribution of an l-loop graph yields an integral with a rational integrand defined by the graph polynomials $\mathcal{U}(\underline{x})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\underline{x}, \underline{p}, \underline{m})$, $\underline{p}$ independent momenta, $\underline{m}$ masses

$$
\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{i}-1 D / 2,1 \text { \# of loops }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \text { \# of edges, } \quad \nu_{i} \text { their multiplicity } \quad D \text { space time dim } \\
& I_{\sigma_{n-1}}(\underline{p}, \underline{m})=\int_{\sigma_{n-1}} \prod_{i} x_{i}^{\nu_{i}-1} \frac{\mathcal{U}^{\omega-\frac{D}{2}}}{\mathcal{F}^{\omega}} \mu_{n-1} \\
& \left.\sigma_{n-1}=\left\{x_{1}: \ldots: x_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \geq 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \text { an open domain. } \\
& D=D_{c r}-2 \epsilon, I=\sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} I_{k} \epsilon^{n} \text { with } I_{k} \text { functions of masses and } \\
& \text { Lorentz invariant products of the external momenta. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Feyman graphs and (relative) Calabi-Yau periods

E.g. for the banana banana graph integrals in critical dimension $D_{c r}=2$ :

## Feyman graphs and (relative) Calabi-Yau periods

E.g. for the banana banana graph integrals in critical dimension $D_{c r}=2$ :

the Symanzik parametrisation leads directly to period integrals in a Calabi-Yau geometry footnotesize(with $t=\frac{p^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \xi_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{\mu}\left(z_{i}=\frac{m_{i}^{2}}{p^{2}}\right)$.

$$
I_{\sigma_{I}}=\int_{\sigma_{l}} \frac{\mu_{l}}{\mathcal{F}\left(t, \xi_{i} ; x\right)}=\int_{\sigma_{l}} \frac{\mu_{I}}{\left(t-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \xi_{i}^{2} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{I+1} x_{i}^{-1}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{I+1} x_{i}}
$$

## Feyman graphs and (relative) Calabi-Yau periods

E.g. for the banana banana graph integrals in critical dimension $D_{c r}=2$ :

the Symanzik parametrisation leads directly to period integrals in a Calabi-Yau geometry footnotesize(with $t=\frac{p^{2}}{\mu^{2}}, \xi_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{\mu}\left(z_{i}=\frac{m_{i}^{2}}{p^{2}}\right)$.

$$
I_{\sigma_{l}}=\int_{\sigma_{l}} \frac{\mu_{l}}{\mathcal{F}\left(t, \xi_{i} ; x\right)}=\int_{\sigma_{I}} \frac{\mu_{l}}{\left(t-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \xi_{i}^{2} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x_{i}^{-1}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} x_{i}}
$$

the Newton polytopes of $\mathcal{F}$ is reflexive, hence $\mathcal{F}=0$ defines a
Calabi-Yau manifold.


## For a better representation of the geometry

Consider the complete intersection of two polynomials of degree $(1, \ldots, 1)$ in the cartesian product of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\prime} s$

$$
\mathbb{P}_{I+1}:=\otimes_{i=1}^{I+1} \mathbb{P}_{(i)}^{1} .
$$

Such a complete intersection manifold in a product of manifolds is denoted in short as

$$
M_{l-1}^{\mathrm{CI}}=\left(\begin{array}{c||cc}
\mathbb{P}_{(1)}^{1} & 1 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbb{P}_{(I+1)}^{1} & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \subset\left(\begin{array}{c||c}
\mathbb{P}_{(1)}^{1} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbb{P}_{(I+1)}^{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)=: F_{I} \subset \mathbb{P}_{I+1}
$$

## GKZ system for the complete intersection geometry

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=a_{0} w_{0}^{(1)}+\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} a_{2 m-1} w_{m}^{(1)}=a_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{l+1} x_{1}^{(k)}+\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} a_{2 m-1} x_{2}^{(m)} \prod_{k \neq m}^{l+1} x_{1}^{(k)} \\
& P_{2}=\tilde{a}_{0} w_{0}^{(2)}+\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} a_{2 m} w_{m}^{(2)}=\tilde{a}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{l+1} x_{2}^{(k)}+\sum_{m=1}^{l+1} a_{2 m} x_{1}^{(m)} \prod_{k \neq m}^{l+1} x_{2}^{(k)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On these parameters $a_{i}, \tilde{a}_{i}$ in the canonical representation

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \Omega(\underline{z})=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{r}} \oint_{S_{1}^{1}} \oint_{S_{2}^{1}} \frac{\wedge_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{n_{i}}}{P_{1} P_{2}}
$$

of the periods integrals, the $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{I+1}$-scaling symmetries

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell^{(1)} & =(-1,-1 ; 1,1,0,0, \cdots, 0,0,0,0) \\
\ell^{(2)} & =(-1,-1 ; 0,0,1,1, \cdots, 0,0,0,0) \\
\vdots & \\
\ell^{(I)} & =(-1,-1 ; 0,0,0,0, \cdots, 1,1,0,0) \\
\ell^{(I+1)} & =(-1,-1 ; 0,0,0,0, \cdots, 0,0,1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Advantages of the geometric representation

act and yield $(I+1)$ second order GKZ operators in the Batyrev large radius coordinates $z_{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{2(I+2)} a_{i}^{\ell_{i}^{(k)}} /\left(a_{0} \tilde{a}_{0}\right), k=1, \ldots, l+1$.

Advantages of the geometric representation as( complete intersection) Calabi-Yau manifold
1.) The GKZ system in the yields immediately all period integrals $\square$ and near the point of maximal unipotent monodromy $z_{i}=0$ a canonical integral basis w.r.t. to the global monodromy $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular one identifies the physical period and its analytic properties.
2.) Once the analytic continuation of $\underline{\Pi}$ to the other critical divisors in the discriminate locus is known they can be calculated to very high precision everywhere in the physical parameter space in extremely short time.

## Further Advantages:

3.) Griffith-transversality (3) implies
a.) The Inverse of the Wronskian is up rational factors linear in the periods $W^{-1}=\Sigma W^{\top} Z^{-1}$

$$
z^{-1}=\frac{(2 \pi i)^{3}}{c}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c}-2 \frac{c^{\prime}}{c}+\frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}} & -\frac{c^{\prime}}{c} & 1 \\
2 \frac{c^{\prime}}{c}-\frac{c^{\prime \prime}}{c}-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{4}} & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
\frac{c^{\prime}}{c} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

b.) The Gauss-Manin connection can be brought into a canonical form

$$
\partial_{t_{*}^{i}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{V}_{0} \\
\mathcal{V}_{j} \\
\mathcal{V}^{j} \\
\mathcal{V}^{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \delta_{i k} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{i j k} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{i}^{j} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{V}_{0} \\
\mathcal{V}_{k} \\
\mathcal{V}^{k} \\
\mathcal{V}^{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

4.) a.) Implies that that in the "variation of constant" procedure the inhomogeneous solution is an iterated integral of the periods $\partial_{n}^{k} \Pi$ modulo rational functions. b.) implies that the higher terms in $\epsilon$ can be similar written as iterated integrals.

## Calabi-Yau motives:

The periods of Calabi-Yau varieties and their extensions (inhomogeneous solutions) evaluate Feynman integrals. Different Calabi-Yau varieties have the same periods structures.

## Calabi-Yau motives:

The periods of Calabi-Yau varieties and their extensions (inhomogeneous solutions) evaluate Feynman integrals. Different Calabi-Yau varieties have the same periods structures. Therefore we abstract the essential data of the period $\Pi(z)$ into the notion of a Calabi-Yau period motive with the properties
(a) $\Pi(z)$ is restricted by the real Griffiths bilinear relations defining positivity of volumes and the holomorphic Griffiths transversality conditions.
(b) $\vec{\Pi}(z)$ is a flat section of the Hodge bundle over the moduli space and fulfils a first-order homogeneous differential
equation $\nabla_{G M} \Pi=\left(\partial_{z}-N(z)\right) \Pi=0$, or equivalently a set of higher order homogenous differential equations $\mathcal{L}^{(k)} \vec{\Pi}=0$.
The higher-order operators $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}\left(z, \partial_{z}\right)$ generate the
Picard-Fuchs differential ideal.

## Calabi-Yau motives:

(c) There is a $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$-integer intersection form $\Sigma$ with entries $\Sigma_{a b}=\Gamma_{a} \cap \Gamma_{b}$, which is anti-symmetric and symplectic for $n$ odd with signature $\left(\frac{b_{n}}{2}, \frac{b_{n}}{2}\right)$, and for $n$ even it is symmetric of a signature ( $m, b_{n}-m$ ) determined by the Hirzebruch signature index.
(d) Flat sections of the Hodge bundle are determined by their monodromies $M_{\gamma}$ for loops $\gamma$ around special divisors of $\mathcal{M}_{c s}(M)$, that for a choice of basis $\Gamma_{a} \in H_{n}(M, \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ generate the monodromy group $\Gamma_{M} \subset \operatorname{Sp}\left(b_{n}, \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$ for $n$ odd and $\Gamma_{M} \subset O(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ for $n$ even. In particular, $\vec{\Pi}(z)$ defines a representation of $\Gamma_{M}$.
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Integrable Deformations: Marginal $\beta$ deformations Leigh, Strassler (95)
Maldacena Luni (05). Here most relevant the supersymmetry breaking $\gamma_{i}$,
$i=1,2,3$ deformations in the double scaling limit $g \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_{3} \rightarrow i \infty$ with $\xi^{2}=g^{2} N_{c} e^{-i \gamma_{3}}$ fixed Gürdoğan, Kazakov (16), with Caetano (18) and the bi-scalar model $\chi$ FT Kazakov, olivucci (18) leading to holographic dual pairs of integrable fishnet and fishchain theories in D dimensions.

## Orginal Fishnet Lagrangians

These bi- "scalar" fishnet theories in $D$ dimensions have a Lagrangian with quartic interaction $V=4$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {quad }}^{\omega D}=N_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[-X\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega} \bar{X}-Z\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-\omega} \bar{Z}+\xi^{2} X Z \bar{X} \bar{Z}\right]
$$

$\omega$ determines the propagator power in the Feynman graphs. E.g. $D=4, \omega=1$ and $D=2, \omega=1 / 2$ are conformal choices.

## Orginal Fishnet Lagrangians

These bi- "scalar" fishnet theories in $D$ dimensions have a Lagrangian with quartic interaction $V=4$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {quad }}^{\omega D}=N_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[-X\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega} \bar{X}-Z\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-\omega} \bar{Z}+\xi^{2} X Z \bar{X} \bar{Z}\right]
$$

$\omega$ determines the propagator power in the Feynman graphs. E.g. $D=4, \omega=1$ and $D=2, \omega=1 / 2$ are conformal choices. Most importantly this theory exhibit as symmetry the Yangian extension of the bosonic conformal symmetry.

## Hexagonal Fishnets Lagrangian

A generalization with analogous symmetry properties are Fishnet theories with cubic interaction $V=3$ kazakov, olivucci (23) and Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {cub }}^{D}= & N_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[-X\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{1}} \bar{X}-Y\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{2}} \bar{Y}-Z\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{3}} \bar{Z}\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{1}^{2} \bar{X} Y Z+\xi_{2}^{2} X \bar{Y} \bar{Z}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{V} \omega_{i}=D$ at vertex, e.g. $D=2$ and $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=\omega_{3}=2 / 3$.
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\end{aligned}
$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{V} \omega_{i}=D$ at vertex, e.g. $D=2$ and $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=\omega_{3}=2 / 3$.
Scalar field have conformal dimension $\Delta_{\phi}=(D-2) / 2$ and conformal interactions have to have valency $V=2 D /(D-2)$, i.e. $D=6,4,3$ enforce $V=3,4,6$ respectively.

## Hexagonal Fishnets Lagrangian

A generalization with analogous symmetry properties are Fishnet theories with cubic interaction $V=3$ kazakov, olivucei (23) and Lagrangian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {cub }}^{D}= & N_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[-X\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{1}} \bar{X}-Y\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{2}} \bar{Y}-Z\left(-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}\right)^{\omega_{3}} \bar{Z}\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{1}^{2} \bar{X} Y Z+\xi_{2}^{2} X \bar{Y} \bar{Z}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{V} \omega_{i}=D$ at vertex, e.g. $D=2$ and $\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=\omega_{3}=2 / 3$.
Scalar field have conformal dimension $\Delta_{\phi}=(D-2) / 2$ and conformal interactions have to have valency $V=2 D /(D-2)$, i.e. $D=6,4,3$ enforce $V=3,4,6$ respectively.
Then the (planar) fishnet graphs can be cut by a closed oriented curve from the three regular tilings of the plane:

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives




Figure 1: The three regular tilings of the plan with vertices of valence $\nu=3,4,6$ respectively.


Figure 2: Ten-point five-loop fishnet integral cut out of a square tiling of the plane.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

To obtain a graph $G$ consider a convex closed oriented curve $\mathcal{C}$ that cuts edges of the tiling and does not pass to vertices. To each vertex inside the curve $\mathcal{C}$ we associate a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $\left[x_{i}: u_{i}\right], i=1, \ldots$, l over which we want to integrate with the measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \mu_{i}=u_{i} \mathrm{~d} x_{i}-x_{i} \mathrm{~d} u_{i} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To the end point of each cut edge outside $\mathcal{C}$ we associate a parameter $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C}, j=1, \ldots, r$. The graph is constructed by the $/$ vertices with propagators

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{w_{i j}}}, \quad P_{i j}^{E}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{i}-a_{j}\right)^{w_{i j}}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be conformal in $D$ dimension the weights of propagators incident to each vertex $V_{i}$ has to fullfill

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum w_{i j}=D \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

We deal mainly with $D=2$ and choose the propagator weights all equal $w_{i j}=w=2 / \nu(V)$, where $\nu(V)$ is the valence of the vertices, i.e. for the hexagonal tiling we have $w=\frac{2}{3}$, for the quartic tiling $w=\frac{1}{4}$ amd for the trigonal tiling $w=\frac{1}{3}$.

To the hexagonal and the quartic lattice we can associate an in general singular I-dimensional Calabi-Yau variety $M_{l}$ as the $d=3$ or $d=2$ fold cover

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{y^{d}}{d}-P([\underline{x}: \underline{u}] ; \underline{a})=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the base $B=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)^{\prime}$ branched at

$$
\begin{equation*}
P([\underline{x}: \underline{w}] ; \underline{a})=\prod_{i j}\left(u_{j} x_{i}-x_{j} u_{i}\right) \prod_{i j}\left(x_{i}-a_{j} u_{i}\right)=0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The orders of the covering automorpishm exchanging the sheets will play a crucial role in the following geometric analvcic

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Note that (??) defines a Calabi-Yau manifold, because the canonical class of the base is with $H_{i}$ the hyperplane class of the $i$ 'th $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{B}=2 \bigoplus_{i=1} H_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Calabi-Yau condition ensuring $K_{M_{l}}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d-1} K_{B}=[P([\underline{x}: \underline{u}] ; \underline{a})]=\nu \bigoplus_{i=1} H_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true with $d=3,2$ as $\nu=3,4$ for graphs from the hexagonal and the quartic tiling, respectively.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Another way of stating this is that the periods over the unique holomorphic ( $\ell, 0$ )-form, given by the Griffiths residuum form $\Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{G}=\int_{C} \Omega=\int_{C} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{d y \prod_{i=1}^{\prime} d \mu_{i}}{W}=\int_{C} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l} d \mu_{i}}{\partial_{y} W}=\int_{C} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{l} d \mu_{i}}{P^{\frac{d-1}{d}}}=\int_{C} \prod_{i j} P_{i j}^{\prime} \prod_{i j} P_{i j}^{E} \prod_{i=1}^{\prime} d \mu_{i}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are well defined. The significance for the application is that these period integrals over cycles $C \in H_{l}\left(M_{l}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ are building blocks for the amplitudes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G}=\int_{C} \Omega=\int \sqrt{\left|\prod_{i j} P_{i j}^{l} \prod_{i j} P_{i j}^{E}\right|^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{\prime} d \mu_{i} \wedge d \bar{\mu}_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives



Figure 3: Singularities of the $K 3$ denoted for the valence 4 graph $M_{G_{1,2}}$ and the valence 3 graph $M_{G_{A}^{2}}$. Note that 3 of the $a_{i}$ can be set to $0,1, \infty$ by a diagonal $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the projective plane in which the $a_{i}$ lie

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Claim 1: To each graph $G$ we can associate a Calabi-Yau variety $X$ whose periods determine $I$.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Claim 1: To each graph $G$ we can associate a Calabi-Yau variety $X$ whose periods determine $I$.

Claim 2: Each I gives rise to a Calabi-Yau motive with integer symmetry (/ even) or antisymmetric (/ odd) intersection form $\Sigma$, a point of maximal unipotent monodromy and a period vector $\Pi(\underline{z})=\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \Omega$ with $\Gamma_{i} \in H_{l}\left(W^{(m, n)}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The Feynman amplitude is given near the Mum points by the quantum volume of the mirror

$$
I=i^{I^{2}} \Pi^{\dagger} \Sigma \Pi=e^{-K(\underline{z}, \underline{\bar{z}})}=\operatorname{Vol}_{q}\left(M^{(m, n)}\right)
$$

and globally by analytic continuation of the periods. Here $M^{(m, n)}$ is the mirror of $W^{(m, n)}$.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Claim 3: There exist an integrable conformal fishnet theories (CFNT) developed first (Gürdogan, Kazakov 2015) as deformation of $N=4 S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ SYM theory. Let $X, Z$ be $S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ matrix fields then the Lagrangian is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F N}=N_{c} \operatorname{tr}\left(-\partial_{\mu} X \partial^{m} u \bar{X}-\partial_{\mu} Z \partial^{m} u \bar{Z}+\xi^{2} X Z \bar{X} \bar{Z}\right)
$$

Each $I_{m, n}$ integral is an amplitude in the CFNT, i.e. $I_{m, n}(\underline{z})$ has to be single valued i.e. a Bloch Wigner dilogarithm or in the $D=2$ case $e^{-K}$.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Claim 3: There exist an integrable conformal fishnet theories (CFNT) developed first (Gürdogan, Kazakov 2015) as deformation of $N=4 S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ SYM theory. Let $X, Z$ be $S U\left(N_{c}\right)$ matrix fields then the Lagrangian is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F N}=N_{c} \operatorname{tr}\left(-\partial_{\mu} X \partial^{m} u \bar{X}-\partial_{\mu} Z \partial^{m} u \bar{Z}+\xi^{2} X Z \bar{X} \bar{Z}\right)
$$

Each $I_{m, n}$ integral is an amplitude in the CFNT, i.e. $I_{m, n}(\underline{z})$ has to be single valued i.e. a Bloch Wigner dilogarithm or in the $D=2$ case $e^{-K}$.

The factorisation of the amplitudes of the integrable system subject to the Yang-Baxter relations imply many non-trivial relations for he periods of the $W^{(m, n)}$. E.g. we the one parameter specialisation the periods of $W^{(n, m)}$ are $(m \times m)$ minors of the periods $W_{l}^{(1, m+m)}$ etc.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives

Claim 4: $(Y(S O(3,1))=Y(S /(2, \mathbb{R})) \oplus \overline{Y(S I(2, \mathbb{R}))}$.$) The$ holomorphic Yangian generated by the algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{j}^{\mu} & =-i \partial_{a_{j}}^{\mu}, & K_{j}^{\mu} & =-2 i a_{j}^{\mu}\left(a_{j}^{\nu} \partial_{a_{j}, \nu}+\Delta_{j}\right)+i a_{j}^{2} \partial_{a_{j}}^{\mu} \\
L_{j}^{\mu \nu} & =i\left(a_{j}^{\mu} \partial_{a_{j}}^{\nu}-a_{j}^{\nu} \partial_{a_{j}}^{\mu}\right), & D_{j} & =-i\left(a_{j}^{\mu} \partial_{a_{j}, \mu}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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$$

in differentials w.r.t. to the external position, generates together with the permutation symmetries of the latter a differential ideal that annihilates the $I(\underline{z})$ and is equivalent to the Picard-Fuchs differential ideal that describes the variation of the Hodge structure in the middle cohomology of $X$ and annihilated the periods of $\Omega$.

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives



Figure 4: The $G_{A}^{(8)}$ graph. The A series starts from even dimensional Calabi-Yau spaces


Figure 5: The $G_{B}^{(7)}$ graph. The B series starts from odd dimensional Calabi-Yau spaces

## Regular tilings and Calabi-Yau motives



Figure 6: The $G_{A}^{(2)}$ graph and its transformation to a genus 2 Picard curve

$$
y^{3}=\left(x-a_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{2}\right)\left(x-a_{3}\right)^{2}\left(x-a_{4}\right)^{2}
$$
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Figure 7: The $G_{B}^{(3)}$ graph and its transformation to a genus Picard curve

$$
y^{3}=\left(x-a_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{2}\right)\left(x-a_{3}\right)\left(x-a_{4}\right)\left(x-a_{5}\right)^{2}
$$
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## Worldline Quantum Field Theory approach to General Relativ-

 ityScattering of two black holes (BH) as starter to the description of BH mergers as the main sources for gravitational waves detected at LIGO, ...


## Worldline Quantum Field Theory approach to General Relativ-

ity

The action for the scattering process

$$
S=-\sum_{i=1}^{2} m_{i} \int \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}_{i}^{\mu} \dot{x}_{i}^{\nu}\right]+S_{\mathrm{EH}}
$$

is expanded in Post Minkowskian (PM) approximation in the Worldline Quantum Field Theory (WQFT) approach around the non-interacting background configurations

$$
x_{i}^{\mu}=b_{i}^{\mu}+v_{i}^{\mu} \tau+z_{i}^{\mu}(\tau), \quad g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}+\sqrt{32 \pi G} h_{\mu \nu}(x)
$$

## Worldline Quantum Field Theory approach to General Relativ-

ity

The goal is to calculate from the initial data: the impact parameter $b^{\mu}=b_{1}^{\mu}-b_{2}^{\mu}$ and the incoming velocities $v_{1}, v_{2}$ the physical quantity of interest, which is the radiation induces change in the momentum say $\Delta p_{1}^{\mu}=m_{1} \int \mathrm{~d} \tau \ddot{x}(\tau)$ of the first particle.

In the PM approximation the latter can be expanded in the gravitational coupling $G$

$$
\Delta p_{1}^{\mu}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^{n} \Delta p^{(n) \mu}(x)
$$

At each order the contributions $\Delta p^{(n) \mu}(x)$ are calculated in the WQFT approach in the Swinger-Keldysh in-in formalism in terms of a Feynman graph expansion with retarded propagators. Here $x=\gamma-\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}$ with $\gamma$ the Lorentz factor of the relative velocities is the only parameter.

## Worldline Quantum Field Theory approach to General Relativ-

ity

In the 4PM approximation the Feynman integral in the 1SF sector

involve bilinear of elliptic function which are periods of the $K 3$

$$
Y^{2}=X(X-1)(X-x) Z(Z-1)(Z-1 / x)
$$

In the 5PM approximation we find in [8] that in the 5PM approximation the following graphs in the 1SF sector


## Worldline Quantum Field Theory approach to General Relativ-

 ityThe corresponding smooth CY three-fold one-parameter complex family $x=(2 \psi)^{-8}$, can be defined as resolution of four symmetric quadrics

$$
x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}-2 \psi x_{j+1} y_{j+1}=0, j \in \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}
$$

in the homogeneous coordinates $x_{i}, y_{j}, j=0, \ldots, 3$ of $\mathbb{P}^{7}$. The periods of the above $K 3$ and $C Y$ threefold determine all special functions that are necessary to solve for $\Delta p^{(5) \mu}(x)$ in the 1SF sector.

In the 5PM 2SF further different CY and K 3 appear.
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