# Closed-form Schur indices and free fields

#### Yiwen Pan

based on 1903.03623, 2104.12180, work in progress with Wolfger Peelaers; Yufan Wang, Haocong Zheng

October 28, 2021

<ロト < @ ト < 注 > < 注 > 三 の < で 1/59

Introduction

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E の Q ○ 2/59

# Associated VOA

[Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, Rees]

- 4d  $\mathcal{N}=2$  SCFT  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$
- Superconformal algebra generators

$$P_{\mu}, K_{\mu}, D, M, R^{I}{}_{J}, Q^{I}_{\alpha}, \tilde{Q}_{I\dot{\alpha}}, S^{\alpha}_{I}, \tilde{S}^{I\dot{\alpha}}$$
(1)

• Pick 
$$\mathbb{R}^2_{x_3,x_4} \equiv \mathbb{C}_{z,ar{z}}$$



<ロト < @ ト < 注 ト < 注 ト 三 の へ C 3/59

Schur operators : special type of operators on C<sub>z,z̄</sub>
 (a) Cohomology classes O(z) of

$$Q_1 \equiv Q_-^1 + \tilde{Q}_{2-}^{\dagger}, \qquad Q_2 \equiv (Q_-^1)^{\dagger} - \tilde{Q}_{2-}^{\dagger}$$
 (2)

(b) Schur conditions (from cohomology requirement):

$$E - 2R - j_1 - j_2 = 0, \qquad r + j_1 - j_2 = 0$$
 (3)

- (c)  $\mathcal{O}(z)$  depend on z only (d)  $\mathcal{O}(z)\mathcal{O}'(0)$  OPE coefficients depend on z only
- 4d/2d correspondence: Schur ops. span a vertex operator algebra V[T]

# Associated VOA

- Encode important info about the 4d SCFTs [Lemos, Liendo][Bonetti, Rastelli][Cordorva, Gaiotto, Shao] [Song, Xie, Yan] ...
- Simplest examples:
  - $\circ$  free hypermultiplet:  $\beta\gamma$  (symplectic boson) system
  - $\circ~$  free vector multiplet: small  $bc~{\rm ghost}$

• 
$$SU(2)$$
 with 4 flavors:  $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}}(8)_{-2}$ 

 $\circ \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ SU(2) \ \text{SYM: 2d small } \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFA}$ 

$$\circ$$
  $T_3$ :  $(\widehat{\mathfrak{e}}_6)_{-3}$ 

o ...

- 4d  $\mathcal{R}$ -symmetry current  $\rightarrow$  stress tensor T,  $c_{\rm 2d} = -12c_{\rm 4d}$
- 4d f flavor-symmetry moment map  $\rightarrow \hat{f}$ -current,  $k_{2d} = -\frac{1}{2}k_{4d}$

# Associated VOA

- Large body of literature on the subject
- identification of associated VOAs, VOA structure and modular differential equations, bounds, indices ...

Class-S and  $T_N$ : [Beem, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees][Lemos, Peelaers][Kiyoshige, Nishinaka] ...

Argyres-Douglas: [Song, Xie, Yan] [Xie, Yan, Yau]

[Dedushenko, Wang] [Buican, Nishinaka] [Kozcaz, Shakirov, Yan][Creutzig] ...

MDE, defects: [Cordova, Gaiotto, Shao][Nishinaka, Sasa,

Zhu][Beem, Rastelli][YP, Wang, Zheng] ...

Free field realization: [Adamovic][Beem, Meneghelli,

Rastelli][Bonetti, Meneghelli, Rastelli] ...

#### Associated VOA: Schur index

• Schur ops counted by the Schur index [Gadde, et.al.],

$$\mathcal{I}[\mathcal{T}] \equiv \operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]} q^{E-R+\frac{c_{4d}}{2}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{f}} = \underbrace{\operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}} q^{L_0 - \frac{c_{2d}}{24}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{f}}}_{\operatorname{vacuum character of } \mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]}$$
(4)

where  $q \equiv e^{2\pi i \tau}$ , **b**, **f** are flavor fugacities and Cartans • Schur limit of the full  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFI [Kinney, et.al.]

$$\mathcal{I}(p,q,t) \equiv \operatorname{str} e^{-\beta\tilde{\delta}_{1-}} p^{\frac{\delta_{1+}}{2}} q^{\frac{E-2j_2-2R-r}{2}} t^{R+r} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{f}} \quad (5)$$

$$\xrightarrow{t \to q} \mathcal{I}(q) = \operatorname{str} e^{-\beta \tilde{\delta}_{1-}} p^{\delta_{1+}} q^{\frac{E-2j_2+r}{2}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{f}}$$
(6)

 $\Rightarrow$  independence of *p*, contrib. only from Schur operators

#### Associated VOA: Schur index

Computing Schur indices (focus on Lagrangian theories):

- Direct counting Schur opereators or identifying the VOA [Gadde, Rastelli, Razamat, Yan]: a series expansion
- From 2d *q*-Yang-Mills partition functions [Gadde, Rastelli, Razamat, Yan]: an infinite sum over representations
- From localization on  $S^3 \times S^1$ , or zero-coupling limit (independence of  $g_{\rm YM}$ ) [Gadde, et.al.][YP, Peelaers][Dedushenko, Fluder][Jeong]: a contour integral; also compute Schur correlators on  $S^3 \times S^1$

Multivariate contour integral formula

$$\mathcal{I} = \oint_{|a|=1} \left[ \frac{da}{2\pi i a} \right] \mathcal{Z}(a) \tag{7}$$

(ロ) (母) (主) (主) (主) (3/59)

# Goal

- Task: compute the Schur indices analytically in closed-form
- Different from previous results on closed-forms [Bourdier, Drukker, Felix]
- S-duality, modular propreties, additional solutions to (flavored) modular differential equations [Gaberdiel, Keller][Krauel,

Mason][Beem, Rastelli]

# Free field realization and characters

#### Novel free field realization

Free field realization (special cases): VOAs  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{G}$  associated to 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  *G*-SYM realized with <u>*bc* $\beta\gamma$  systems</u> [Bonetti, Meneghelli, Rastelli]

- rank  $G(\leq \dim G)$  copies of  $bc\beta\gamma$  systems
- Weights h and  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$  charges m ( $i = 1, \ldots, \operatorname{rank} G$ )

 $d_i$ : degs of fund. invariants/Casimirs

• 
$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{N}=4}^G \leq \mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^G$$

#### Novel free field realization

• Example: G = SU(2),  $\textit{d}_1 = 2$  [Bonetti, Meneghelli, Rastelli][Adamovic]

$$J^+ = \beta$$
,  $G^+ = b$ ,  $T = -\frac{3}{2}b\partial c - \frac{1}{2}\partial bc - \beta\partial\gamma$ ,...

• As a subalgebra,  $\mathbb{V}^{SU(2)}_{\mathcal{N}=4}=\ker S$ 

$$S = \oint dz (be^{-\frac{1}{2}(\chi + \phi)})(z) ,$$
 (8)

with  $\beta = e^{\chi + \phi}$ ,  $\gamma = \partial \chi e^{-\chi - \phi}$ .

#### Residues as free field characters

•  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYMs with simple gauge groups  $\mathit{G}$ , the Schur indices

$$\mathcal{I} \sim \oint \prod_{i}^{r} \frac{da_{i}}{2\pi i a_{i}} \underbrace{\frac{\eta(\tau)^{3r}}{\vartheta_{4}(\mathfrak{b})^{r}} \prod_{\alpha} \frac{\vartheta_{1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{a}))}{\vartheta_{4}(\alpha(\mathfrak{a}) + \mathfrak{b})}}_{\mathcal{Z}(a)}$$
(9)

- Simply-laced gauge group: all poles of of Z(a) share identical residues as analytic functions (up to numerical factors)
   Others: finitely many different residues as analytic functions
- Consider only the simplest poles of  $\mathcal{Z}(a)$

$$e^{2\pi i \alpha_i(\mathfrak{a})} = b q^{\frac{1}{2}} , i = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (10)

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = つへぐ 13/59

#### Residues as free field characters

• The residue:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{e^{2\pi i \alpha_i(\mathfrak{a})} \to bq^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\prod_i \frac{1}{a_i}\right) \mathcal{Z}(a)$$
(11)

Massive cancellation between numerator and denominator

• 
$$\prod_{\alpha} \rightarrow \prod_{H \ge 0}$$
:  $H(\alpha) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i$ ,  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i \alpha_i$ 

 $\circ$  Almost complete cancellation between H and H+1

• **Incomplete cancellation** when 
$$\#(H+1) < \#(H)$$
:

The residue

$$=q^{\frac{\dim\mathfrak{g}}{8}}\prod_{\substack{H\geq 0\\\#(H+1)<\#(H)}}\frac{(b^{H}q^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{H+1}{2}};q)(b^{-H}q^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{H+1}{2}};q)}{(b^{H+1}q^{\frac{H+1}{2}};q)(b^{-H-1}q^{1-\frac{H+1}{2}};q)}$$
(12)

<□ ト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト ○ 2 ○ 14/59</p>

#### Residues as free field characters

- $\#(H+1) < \#(H) \iff H+1 = d_i$ :  $d_i$  are the degrees of the fund. invariants of g [Kostant][Collingwood, McGovern]:
- Residue [Peelaers][YP, Wang, Zheng]

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res} &= q^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{8}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(b^{d_{i}-1}q^{\frac{d_{i}+1}{2}}; q)(b^{-d_{i}+1}q^{\frac{1-d_{i}}{2}}; q)}{(b^{d_{i}}q^{\frac{d_{i}}{2}}; q)(b^{-d_{i}}q^{1-\frac{d_{i}}{2}}; q)} \\ &= \operatorname{ch}(\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^{G}) \\ &= \operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^{G}} q^{L_{0}-\frac{c_{2d}}{24}} b^{f}. \end{aligned}$$

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = つへぐ 15/59

#### Some immediate implications

- $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{N}=4}^G \leq \mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^G \Rightarrow \text{Res must automatically satisfy all the flavored modular differential equations from the special nulls [Beem, Rastelli][Beem, Peelaers]$
- Consider the projection  $P: \mathbb{V}^G_{bc\beta\gamma} \to \mathbb{V}^G_{\mathcal{N}=4}$ , we conjecture existence of  $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{N}=4}^{G}} q^{L_{0} - \frac{c_{2d}}{24}} b^{f} = \operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^{G}} Pq^{L_{0} - \frac{c_{2d}}{24}} b^{f} \\ &\equiv \mathcal{P}\underbrace{\operatorname{str}_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}^{G}} q^{L_{0} - \frac{c_{2d}}{24}} b^{f}}_{\operatorname{Res of } \mathcal{Z}(a)} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Question:  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Schur indices completely determined by the residues of the one-loop  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$ ?

# Closed-form Schur indices

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q 0 17/59

# Ellipticity

• Some convention: normal v.s. fraktur font

$$z = e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{z}}, \qquad y = e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{y}}, \qquad a = e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{a}}, \qquad b = e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{b}}$$

except

$$q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$$

•

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Ellipticity

• One-loop  $\mathcal{Z}(a_i \equiv e^{2\pi i \mathfrak{a}_i})$  is elliptic w.r.t. each  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  [Razamat]

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}_i,\ldots) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}_i+1,\ldots) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{a}_i+\tau,\ldots), \forall i.$$



- Ellipticity: highly constraining
- Task: compute multivariate contour integrals of Z by collecting residues

# Ellipticity



Problem:

- non-isolated singularity at the origin: no residue
- All residues outside cancel: no naive "Higgs branch localization"

• Crucial family of (almost) elliptic functions:

	$\sigma(\mathfrak{a} \tau)$	$\zeta(\mathfrak{a}  au)$	$\wp(\mathfrak{a}  au)$	$rac{\partial^n}{\partial \mathfrak{a}^n}\wp(\mathfrak{a}  au)$
	almost elliptic	almost elliptic	elliptic	elliptic
	$\sim \log \mathfrak{a}$	$\sim \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$	$\sim \mathfrak{a}^{-2}$	$\sim \mathfrak{a}^{-n-2}$
e.g.	,			

$$\zeta(\mathfrak{z}) \equiv \frac{1}{\mathfrak{z}} + \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}}^{\prime} \left[ \frac{1}{\mathfrak{z} - m - n\tau} + \frac{1}{m + n\tau} + \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{(m + n\tau)^2} \right]$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ < ○ < ○ 21/59</p>

From left to right: take derivative

• Build any elliptic function

# **Elliptic functions**

Example: elliptic f(a) with only simple poles a<sub>i</sub> in the fund. parallelogram

$$f(\mathfrak{a}) = \underbrace{C_{f}(\tau)}_{\mathfrak{a}-\text{const.}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i} \underbrace{\left[ \underset{a \to a_{i}}{\operatorname{Res}} \frac{1}{a} f(a) \right]}_{R_{i}} \underbrace{\zeta(\mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{a}_{i})}_{\text{unit residue at } a_{i}} \cdot (13)$$

Note: under  $\mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a} + \tau$ , all  $\zeta$ 's shift by identical constant  $\Rightarrow$  the RHS is invariant due to  $\sum_i \operatorname{res}_i = 0$ .

Elliptic functions with higher order poles: include ∂<sup>n</sup><sub>a</sub>℘(a − a<sub>i</sub>)

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (22/59)

## **Elliptic functions**

• Translation to Jacobi-theta

$$\zeta(\mathfrak{z}) = \frac{\vartheta_1'(\mathfrak{z})}{\vartheta_1(\mathfrak{z})} - 4\pi^2 \mathfrak{z} E_2(\tau) .$$
(14)

- Can be Fourier expanded,  $0<\lambda<1$ 

$$\zeta(\mathfrak{z}) = -4\pi^2 \mathfrak{z} E_2(\tau) - \pi i + \pi \sum_{n}' \frac{q^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\sin n\pi\tau} e^{2\pi i n\mathfrak{z}}, \quad \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R}$$
  
$$\zeta(\mathfrak{z}) = -4\pi^2 \mathfrak{z} E_2(\tau) + \pi i + \pi \sum_{n}' \frac{q^{+\frac{n}{2}}}{\sin n\pi\tau} e^{2\pi i n\mathfrak{z}}, \quad \mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{R} - \lambda\tau$$

#### **Eisenstein Series**

- Twisted Eisenstein series  $E_k \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$ : quasi-Jacobi/modular forms
- Relatively simple shift properties,

$$E_k \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1\\ zq^{\frac{n}{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^\ell \frac{1}{\ell!} E_{k-\ell} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^n (\pm 1)\\ z \end{bmatrix} .$$
(15)

• Constant terms  $(S_{2n} \equiv \left[\frac{y}{2\sinh \frac{y}{2}}\right]_{2n})$ 

$$E_{2n+1} \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \sim 0, \qquad E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \sim -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad (16)$$
$$E_{2n} \begin{bmatrix} +1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \sim -\frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!}, \qquad E_{2n} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \sim -S_{2n} \qquad (17)$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

• Translation to Jacobi theta functions, e.g.

$$E_{k}\begin{bmatrix} \pm 1\\ z \end{bmatrix} = -\underbrace{\left[e^{-\frac{y}{2\pi i}\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{z}}-P_{2}(y)}\right]_{k}}_{\text{coeff of } y^{k} \text{ in } y\text{-Taylor}}\vartheta_{1/4}(\mathfrak{z})$$
(18)

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{z}}^{n}\vartheta_{i}(\mathfrak{z}) \equiv \frac{\vartheta_{i}^{(n)}(\mathfrak{z})}{\vartheta_{i}(\mathfrak{z})} .$$
(19)

 $\Rightarrow$  Modular properties under, e.g.

$$\mathfrak{z} \to \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{\tau}, \qquad \tau \to -\frac{1}{\tau}$$
 (20)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Can be Fourier expanded

$$E_{2n} \begin{bmatrix} +1\\ z \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} c_{2n}(2m) \sum_{\ell}' \frac{1}{\sin^{2m} \ell \pi \tau} e^{2\pi i \ell \mathfrak{z}}$$
$$E_{2n+1} \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ z \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} c_{2n+1}(2m+1) \sum_{\ell}' \frac{1}{\sin^{2m+1} \ell \pi \tau} e^{2\pi i \ell \mathfrak{z}}$$

• Difference equations of  ${\cal E}$  provide recursion relations for  $c{\rm 's}$ 

$$2ic_{2n+1}(2m+1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^{2\ell}(2\ell+1)!} c_{2n-2\ell}(2m) , \qquad m \in \mathbb{N} ,$$
  
$$2ic_{2n+2}(2m+2) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^{2\ell}(2\ell+1)!} c_{2n+1-2\ell}(2m+1) , \qquad m \in \mathbb{N} ,$$

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

#### **Eisenstein Series**

• Conversely, Fourier series  $\sum_{\ell}' \frac{1}{\sin^k \ell \pi \tau} e^{2\pi i \ell_3} \sim \text{combinations of twisted Eisenstein series.}$ 

#### **Integrating Elliptic functions**

• Integrating an elliptic function  $f(\mathfrak{a})$ 

$$\oint f(a)\frac{da}{2\pi i a} = C_f + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_i R_i \oint \zeta(\mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{a}_i)\frac{da}{2\pi i a} .$$
 (21)

- (a) The ζ integral is doable (ζ is a total derivative/Fourer)
  (b) C<sub>f</sub> can be replaced by f and R<sub>i</sub>
- Final result (*a*<sub>0</sub> is an arbitrary reference value): sum over poles in the fundamental parallelogram

$$\oint_{|a|=1} f(a) \frac{da}{2\pi i a} = f(a_0) + \sum_{\text{real/img. } a_i} R_i E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ \frac{a_i}{a_0} q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} ,$$

real/imaginary poles:  $\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$  or  $\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{a}_i > 0$ .

Example:  $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(2)$  theory

- $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{N} = 4 \ SU(2) \ \text{SYM}, \ \mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}] = 2\text{d small} \ \mathcal{N} = 4 \ \text{SCFA}$
- The Schur index (two imaginary poles, common residue)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 \ SU(2)}(b) = \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{da}{2\pi i a} \frac{\vartheta_1(\pm 2\mathfrak{a})\eta(\tau)^3}{\vartheta_4(\pm 2\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{b})}$$
$$= E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\b \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\frac{i\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{b})}{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})}}_{\operatorname{ch}^{A_1}_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\vartheta'_4(\mathfrak{b})}{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})} .$$
(22)

• Genus-one with one puncture

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1}(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\vartheta_4'(\mathfrak{b})}{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{b})} .$$
(23)

# Example: SU(2) SQCD

 SU(2) gauge theory with four fundamental hypers: the associated VOA is sô(8)−2



• Schur index  $\mathcal{I}_{0,4}(b)$ 

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{da}{2\pi i a} \vartheta_1(\pm 2\mathfrak{a})^2 \prod_{j=1}^4 \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\vartheta_4(\pm \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}_j)}$$
(24)

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 三 のへで 30/59

# Example: SU(2) SQCD

• 8 imaginary poles, 4 different residues:  $R_j \equiv \underset{a \to m_j q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\operatorname{Res}}$  integrand

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,4} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ m_j \end{bmatrix} \frac{i\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{m}_j)}{\eta(\tau)} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\vartheta_1(\mathfrak{m}_j + \mathfrak{m}_\ell)} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\vartheta_1(\mathfrak{m}_j - \mathfrak{m}_\ell)}$$

• *m*'s recombines to fugacities of the four punctures

$$m_1 = b_1^{(1)} b_2^{(1)}, \quad m_2 = \frac{b_1^{(1)}}{b_2^{(1)}}, \quad m_3 = b_1^{(2)} b_2^{(2)}, \quad m_4 = \frac{b_1^{(2)}}{b_2^{(2)}}$$

Manifest permutation invariance among  $b_a^{(i)}$  is lost

• We will derive alternative more elegant expression

#### General integral formula

• Higher ranks:

$$\oint \dots \frac{da_2}{2\pi i a_2} \frac{da_1}{2\pi i a_1} \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}_{\text{individually elliptic}}$$
(25)

• Problem: ellipticity is lost as function of  $\mathfrak{a}_{2,...,n}$ 

$$\oint \dots \frac{da_2}{2\pi i a_2} \underbrace{\oint \frac{da_1}{2\pi i a_1} \mathcal{Z}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}_{\text{non-elliptic in } a_{2,3,\dots}}$$
(26)

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ 32/59

 $\mathfrak{a}_1$ -integral contains Eisenstein series in  $\mathfrak{a}_{2,3,\dots}$ 

## General integral formula

• New task: compute

$$\mathcal{I}_{k}^{\pm}[f] \equiv \oint \frac{da}{2\pi i a} E_{k} \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1\\ ab \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{f(a)}_{\text{elliptic}} .$$
 (27)

• Tool: Fourier series

$$E_k \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ a \end{bmatrix} \leftrightarrow \sum_{\ell=0}^k C_k(\ell) \sum_n' \frac{1}{\sin^\ell n \pi \tau} e^{2\pi i n \mathfrak{a}}$$
(28)

# General integral formula

• General formula for  $\mathcal{I}_k^{\pm}[f]$  as finite sum of residues  $\times$  Eisenstein

$$\mathcal{I}_{k}^{-}[f] = \oint_{|a|=1} \frac{da}{2\pi i a} f(a) E_{k} \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ ab \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -S_{2k} \left( f(a_{0}) + \sum_{\text{poles } a_{i}} R_{i} E_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ a_{i} b q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (29)$$

$$- \sum_{\text{poles } a_{i}} R_{i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} S_{2\ell} E_{k-\ell+1} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ a_{i} b q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{\sinh \frac{y}{2}} = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} S_{2\ell} y^{2\ell}$$

#### Higher-rank computable examples

- Compact formula for all A<sub>1</sub>-theories of class-S
- *SU*(*N*) with 2*N* flavors (computable, compact formula not available yet)
- $\mathcal{N} = 4$  G = SU(3), SU(4), SO(4), SO(5) SYM
- $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$  unflavored indices (conjectural compact formula)
- Schematic structure:

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{\text{poles}} (\text{res}) E_* \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1\\ \text{pole info} \end{bmatrix} \dots E_* \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1\\ \text{pole info} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(30)

# A quick review: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT of class- $\mathcal{S}$ [Gaiotto]

- Starting point: 6d (0,2) SCFT of type  $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathsf{ADE}$
- Put on ℝ<sup>3,1</sup> × Σ<sub>g,n</sub>: genus g, n punctures (co-dim two defects in 6d, labeled by "some discrete data")
- Compactify  $\Sigma_{g,n} \Rightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ SCFT } \mathcal{T}_{g,n}[\mathfrak{g}, \text{discrete data}]$
- The discrete data at each puncture: implies a flavor symmetry subgroup in 4d
- Complex structure moduli of  $\Sigma_{g,n}$ : gauge couplings
- Pants-decompositions of one Σ<sub>g,n</sub>: different gauge theory descriptions, S-duality

#### A quick review: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT of class- $\mathcal{S}$

- Simplest examples:  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$
- "discrete data": trivial
- Punctured Riemann surface  $\Sigma_{g,n} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$
- Examples

(1)  $\mathcal{T}_{g=0,n=3}$ : "trinion theory" = 4 free hypers (2)  $\mathcal{T}_{g=0,n=4}$ : SU(2) theory with 4 fundamental hypers (3)  $\mathcal{T}_{g=0,n=5}$ :  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  theory with hypers in (2, 1), (2, 2), (1, 2) (3)  $\mathcal{T}_{g=1,n=1}$ :  $\mathcal{N} = 2 SU(2)$  theory + one free hyper



• Two S-duality frames [Gadde, et.al.][Kiyoshige, Nishinaka]



• No puncture: hidden U(1)-flavor symmetry (invisible in the class-S picture) with fugacity b [Kiyoshige, Nishinaka]



• First frame  $\mathcal{I}_{2,0}(\mathfrak{b})$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{da}{2\pi i a} \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{a}) \vartheta_1(-2\mathfrak{a}) \mathcal{I}_{1,1}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}) \mathcal{I}_{1,1}(\mathfrak{a},-\mathfrak{b}) \\ &= \frac{i \vartheta_1(\mathfrak{b})^2}{\eta(\tau) \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})} \bigg( E_3 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b \end{bmatrix} + E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b \end{bmatrix} E_2 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b \end{bmatrix} - E_2(\tau) E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ E_2(\tau) E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\b \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{12} E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\b \end{bmatrix} \bigg) \\ &+ \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{2} \left( E_2 + \frac{1}{12} \right) \frac{\vartheta_4(0)^2}{\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{b})^2} \,. \end{split}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > E の Q @ 39/59



• Second frame  $\mathcal{I}'_{2,0}(\mathfrak{b})$ 

$$\frac{1}{8} \oint \prod_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \frac{da_i}{2\pi i a_i} \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{a}_i) \vartheta_1(-2\mathfrak{a}_i) \right] \prod_{\pm \pm \pm} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\vartheta_4(\pm \mathfrak{a}_1 \pm \mathfrak{a}_2 \pm \mathfrak{a}_3 + \mathfrak{b})}$$
$$= \frac{i \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})^2}{\eta(\tau) \vartheta_1(4\mathfrak{b})} \left( E_3 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b^2 \end{bmatrix} + E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b^2 \end{bmatrix} E_2 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b^2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{12} E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

• S-duality  $\mathcal{I}_{2,0}(2\mathfrak{b})=\mathcal{I}_{2,0}'(\mathfrak{b})$  thanks to "duplication formula"

$$E_1 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\z \end{bmatrix} - E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{2i} \frac{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{z})\vartheta_4(0)^2}{\vartheta_1(\mathfrak{z})^2\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{z})^2} .$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ で 40/59



• Two duality frames



• S-duality: due to identities

$$\sum_{\pm} E_k \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \pm z \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = 2E_k \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ z^2 \end{bmatrix} (2\tau), \ \dots \tag{31}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,2}(b) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^2 \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2=\pm 1} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 E_2 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\ \prod_{i=1}^2 b_i^{\alpha_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Gauging in trinion  $\mathcal{T}_{0,3}$  to increase puncture numbers n
- Gluing two punctures to increase genus g
- Observation 1 (for adding  $\mathcal{T}_{0,3}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}_{a=b^{\beta}c^{\gamma}q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{a} \frac{\eta(\tau)^{n}}{\vartheta_{1}(2\mathfrak{a})\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\vartheta_{1}(2\mathfrak{b}_{i})} \mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{VM}}(\mathfrak{a})\mathcal{I}_{0,3}(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}_{n},\mathfrak{b}_{n+1}) \\ &= \beta\gamma \frac{-i\eta(\tau)^{n+1}}{2\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\vartheta_{1}(2\mathfrak{b}_{i})} \end{aligned}$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ ○ ○ ○ 42/59

•

• Observation 2 (for increasing g)

$$\frac{\eta(\tau)^n}{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{a})\vartheta_1(-2\mathfrak{a})\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)}\frac{1}{2}\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{a})^2$$
(32)

#### independent of $\mathfrak{a}$

• Observation 3 (partial proof in [Gadde, Rasstelli, Razamat, Yan])

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,3}(b) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{3} \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha_i = \pm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i\right) E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{3} b_i^{\alpha_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □> ○ へ ○ 43/59

• Finaly result for all  $A_1$  indices at any (g, n)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}_{g,n}(b) &= \frac{i^n}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)^{n+2g-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n+2g-2} \lambda_k^{(n+2g-2)} E_k \left[ \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{\alpha_i}} \right] \\ \mathcal{I}_{g,n=0} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta(\tau)^{2g-2} \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} \lambda_{2k}^{(2g-2)} \left( E_{2k} + \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \right) \end{split}$$

•  $\lambda$ 's are rational numbers: recursion relations

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{0}^{(\text{even})} &= \lambda_{\text{even}}^{(\text{odd})} = \lambda_{\text{odd}}^{(\text{even})} = 0, \qquad \lambda_{2}^{(2)} = 1 \ , \\ \lambda_{2m+1}^{(2k+1)} &= \sum_{\ell=m}^{k} \lambda_{2\ell}^{(2k)} \mathcal{S}_{2(\ell-m)}, \quad \lambda_{2m+2}^{(2k+2)} = \sum_{\ell=m}^{k} \lambda_{2\ell+1}^{(2k+1)} \mathcal{S}_{2(\ell-m)}, \\ \lambda_{1}^{(2k+1)} &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \lambda_{2\ell}^{2k} \left( \frac{B_{2\ell}}{(2\ell)!} - \mathcal{S}_{2\ell} \right) \ . \end{split}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Manifest permutation invariance among all flavor fugacities b<sub>i</sub>
- Unflavoring limit of  $\mathcal{I}_{0,4}(b)$ :

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,4}(b \to 1) = 3 \frac{q \partial_q E_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{10}}$$

directly recovers Arakawa and Kawasetsu's unflavored character of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{so}}(8)_{-2}$ 

# Examples: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories

• Flavored index with lower ranks (*SU*(2, 3, 4), *SO*(4), *SO*(5)) can be easily computed, e.g.

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 SU(3)} = -\frac{1}{8} \underbrace{\frac{\vartheta_4(\mathfrak{b})}{\vartheta_4(3\mathfrak{b})}}_{\overset{\mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{V}^{A_2}}}{\underset{b \in \beta_{\gamma}}{\overset{\mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{V}^{A_2}}}}} \left( -\frac{1}{3} + 4E_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\b \end{bmatrix}^2 - 4E_2 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\b^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) ,$$

• Compact formula for general SU(N) flavor indices out of reach at the moment

# Examples: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories

• Conjectural unflavored indices for *SU*(*N*),

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 \ SU(2N+1)} = (-1)^{N} \tilde{\lambda}_{2}^{(2N+3)} + (-1)^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{2k+2}^{(2N+3)}(2)}{2k} \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{2k} ,$$
$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 \ SU(2N)} = (-1)^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{k} \tilde{\lambda}_{2k+1}^{(2N+2)}(2)}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{2k-1} \frac{\vartheta_{4}^{(2k)}(0)}{\vartheta_{1}'(0)} .$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{2k} = \sum_{\substack{\{n_p\}\\\sum_{p\geq 1} 2pn_p = 2k}} \prod_{p\geq 1} \frac{1}{n_p!} \left(-\frac{1}{2p} E_{2p}\right)^{n_p}$$
(33)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > E の Q @ 47/59

## Examples: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories

• The  $\tilde{\lambda}$ 's are related to those in  $\mathcal{I}_{g,n}$ 

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\ell}^{(n)}(K) \equiv \sum_{\ell'=\max(\ell,1)}^{n} \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{\ell'-\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell'-\ell)!} \lambda_{\ell'}^{(n)} .$$
 (34)

- More precisely,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  appears in residues of  $\mathcal{I}_{g,n}$ 

#### Examples: non-Lagrangian

• e.g.,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  SCFT,

With the Spiridonov-Warnaar inversion [Spiridonov, Warnaar]

[Razamat] [Agarwal, Maruyoshi, Song]

 $\mathcal{I}_{SU(3)\mathsf{SQCD}} o \mathcal{I}_{E_6}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{SU(4)\mathsf{SQCD}} o \mathcal{I}_{R_{0,4}} o \mathcal{I}_{E_7}$ 

• Conformal gauging multiple  $D_p(G)$  theories:  $\widehat{\Gamma}[G]$  [Kang, Lawrie, Song]  $\widehat{E_6}[SO(4)]$ ,  $\widehat{D}_4[SU(3)]$ ,  $\widehat{E}_7[SU(3)]$ ,  $\widehat{E}_6[SU(4)]$ , ...: Schur indices given basically by  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  indices

$$\mathcal{I}_{\widehat{\Gamma}[G]} = q^{\#} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4} \ _{G}(b = q^{\frac{\alpha_{\Gamma}}{2} - 1}, q^{\alpha_{\Gamma}}) \ . \tag{35}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Example: non-Lagrangian

For example,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\widehat{D}_{4}[SU(3)]} = q\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 \ SU(3)}(b=1,q^{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{2}E_{2}(2\tau) .$$
(36)

and (defining  $\widehat{\vartheta}_i(z) \equiv \vartheta_i(z, 4\tau)$ )

$$\mathcal{I}_{\widehat{E_{7}}[SU(3)]} = q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}=4 \ SU(3)}(b = q, q^{4})$$

$$= \frac{1}{12\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{1}(\tau)} \left[ -\frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(0)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(0)} - \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)} - \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(0)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(0)} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)} - \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(0)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)} - \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}'_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)^{2}} - \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}''_{4}(0)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(0)} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\widehat{\vartheta}''_{4}(\tau)}{\widehat{\vartheta}_{4}(\tau)} \right].$$
(37)

# Modular properties: $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(2)$ theory

• S-transform

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\vartheta_4'(\mathfrak{b})}{\vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b})} \xrightarrow{STS} \mathcal{I}_{\log} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\log q - 2\pi i) \mathcal{I} + (\log b) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}}$$

- $ch_M = ch_{\mathbb{V}_{bc\beta\gamma}} \mathcal{I}$ : character of the only non-vacuum irreducible module M from category- $\mathcal{O}$  (Adamovic)
- Three solutions to all the flavored modular differential equations [Beem, Rastelli][Beem, Peelaers]

$$\mathcal{I}, \qquad \mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{V}_{bceta\gamma}}, \qquad \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{log}} \;.$$

 $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_{log}$  have smooth unflavoring limit.

# Modular properties: SU(2) with four flavors

• The index

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,4} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha_i=\pm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i\right) E_2 \begin{bmatrix} +1\\ \prod_{i=1}^4 b_i^{\alpha_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Under S-transformation,

$$\begin{split} e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{\tau}\sum_{i}\mathfrak{b}_{i}^{2}}\mathcal{I}_{0,4}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \\ &= \frac{\log q}{2\pi}\mathcal{I}_{0,4}(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{\eta(\tau)^{2}}{4\pi\prod_{i=1}^{4}\vartheta_{1}(2\mathfrak{b}_{i})}\sum_{\alpha_{i}}\left(\prod_{i}\alpha_{i}\right)\log(\prod_{i}b_{i}^{\alpha_{i}})E_{1}\begin{bmatrix}1\\\prod_{i}b_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < ○ 52/59

.

.

#### Modular properties: SU(2) with four flavors

• Reorganized into

$$e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{\tau}\sum_{i}\mathfrak{b}_{i}^{2}}\mathcal{I}_{0,4}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{\log q}{2\pi}\mathcal{I}_{0,4}(\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{4}(\log m_{j})R_{j}$$
$$e^{+\frac{4\pi i}{\tau}\sum_{i}\mathfrak{b}_{i}^{2}}R_{j}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = iR_{j}(\tau)$$

R<sub>j</sub>: characters of four non-vacuum modules of so(8)-2
 [Arakawa]; highest weight

$$\lambda = w(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3) - \rho, \quad w = 1, s_{1,3,4} .$$
 (38)

conformal weight h = -1.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ ○ ○ 53/59

# Modular properties: SU(2) with four flavors

• Consistency check:  $R_j$  satisfy all the required flavored modular differential equations [Peelaers]

# Surface Defect from Higgsing

- Focus on  $A_1$  theories
- poles  $b_i = q^{\frac{k}{2}}$  of  $\mathcal{I}_{g,n+1}$
- k = 1: recovers

$$\operatorname{Res}_{b \to q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{2\eta(\tau)^2}{b} \mathcal{I}_{g,n+1}(b) = \mathcal{I}_{g,n} .$$
(39)

• k > 1, residue (using shift properties of  $E_i$ 's)

$$\sim \frac{\eta(\tau)^{n+2g-2}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha_i} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+1+2g-2} \tilde{\lambda}_\ell^{n+1+2g-2}(k) E_\ell \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{n+k+1} \\ b_1^{\alpha_1} \dots b_n^{\alpha_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\sim$  difference operator on  $\mathcal{I}_{g,n}$  [Gaiotto, Rastelli, Razamat][Alday, et.al.][Bullimore, et.al.]

# Surface Defect from Higgsing

•  $\tilde{\lambda}$ 's are rational numbers

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\ell}^{(n)}(K) \equiv \sum_{\ell'=\max(\ell,1)}^{n} \lambda_{\ell'}^{(n)} \left(\frac{K}{2}\right)^{\ell'-\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell'-\ell)!} .$$
 (40)

already appeared in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  unflavored indices (K=2)

$$\operatorname{Res}_{b \to q^{\frac{k}{2}}} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{b} \mathcal{I}_{g,5}(b) = \frac{k}{2} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\prod_{i=1}^4 \vartheta_1(2\mathfrak{b}_i)} \sum_{\alpha_i} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i\right) E_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ \prod_{i=1}^4 b_i^{\alpha_i} \end{bmatrix} .$$
$$= \frac{kq^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \sum_{\pm} b_4^{\pm 2} \mathcal{I}_{0,4}(b_4 q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}})$$
(41)

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = つへぐ 56/59

# Outlook

- Identify the projection P : V<sup>G</sup><sub>bcβγ</sub> → V<sup>G</sup><sub>N=4</sub> (generalize to N = 3?), clarify the VOA interpretation of the computation method
- BRST-reduction in two steps? (dim G copies of  $\beta \gamma bc$ <u>"Higgsing"</u> rank G copies of  $\beta \gamma bc \xrightarrow{\text{ker}} \mathcal{N} = 4$  VOA)
- The residues for other N = 2 Lagrangian theories: new free field realization? Are they module characters of the associated VOA? Modular properties?
- For N = 4 theories with non-ADE gauge group: physical meaning of the other residues? Additional free field realization?

# Outlook

- Closed-form for correlators with local/non-local ops
   E.g., coupling to CP<sup>1</sup> model inserts a<sup>2</sup> + a<sup>-2</sup> factor, Wilson loop inserts polynomials of a's: recompute all the Fourier integrals
- Additional integral formula for more general Schur indices E.g., Gauging  $A_N$ -theories requires integrals of the form

$$\oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} f(z) E_{k_1} \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ za_1 \end{bmatrix} E_{k_2} \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 \\ za_2 \end{bmatrix} \dots$$
(42)

- Elliptic genera computation? (relation between JK and unit circle)
- Macdonald/Hall-Littlewood index: work with non-elliptic functions

# Thank you!

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > E の Q (~ 59/59)