

Quantum Integrable Systems from Conformal Blocks

(arXiv: 1605.05105 with Joshua D. Qualls)

Heng-Yu Chen
National Taiwan University

May 28, 2016

Let us begin with a four point function of scalar conformal primary operator $\phi_i(x)$ with scale dimension Δ_i in d -dimensional CFTs:

$$\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\phi_3(x_3)\phi_4(x_4) \rangle = \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{24}^2}\right)^a \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2}\right)^b \frac{F(u, v)}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{(\Delta_1+\Delta_2)}{2}} (x_{34}^2)^{\frac{(\Delta_3+\Delta_4)}{2}}} \quad (1)$$

where $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$, $a = \frac{\Delta_2 - \Delta_1}{2}$, $b = \frac{\Delta_3 - \Delta_4}{2}$ and $F(u, v)$ is a function of conformally invariant cross ratios:

$$u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = z\bar{z}, \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = (1-z)(1-\bar{z}).$$

We can decompose four point function further into contributions from the exchanged primary operators $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}$ and its descendants:

$$\langle \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\phi_3(x_3)\phi_4(x_4) \rangle = \sum_{\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}\}} \lambda_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}} \lambda_{34\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}}(x_i) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}}(x_i)$ is “conformal partial wave” and $\lambda_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}}, \lambda_{34\mathcal{O}_{\Delta, l}}$ are “OPE coefficients”.

Conformal invariance also allows us to fix $\mathcal{W}_{\Delta,l}(x_i)$ into:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(x_i) = \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{24}^2}\right)^a \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2}\right)^b \frac{G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v)}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{(\Delta_1+\Delta_2)}{2}} (x_{34}^2)^{\frac{(\Delta_3+\Delta_4)}{2}}} \quad (3)$$

where $G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v)$ is the “Conformal Block” for $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}$ family.

The four point functions need to satisfy crossing symmetry equation when e. g. $\phi_1(x_1) \leftrightarrow \phi_3(x_3)$:

$$\sum_{\{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}\}} \lambda_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}} \lambda_{34\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}} G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v) = \frac{u^{\frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{2}}}{v^{\frac{\Delta_2+\Delta_3}{2}}} \sum_{\{\mathcal{O}'_{\Delta,l}\}} \lambda_{32\mathcal{O}'_{\Delta,l}} \lambda_{14\mathcal{O}'_{\Delta,l}} G_{\mathcal{O}'_{\Delta,l}}(v, u) \quad (4)$$

For unitary CFTs, if we know $G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v)$ exactly or at least some approximate forms, then assuming $\lambda_{12\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}} \lambda_{34\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}} \geq 0$, and start numerically putting bounds on spectrum of $\{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}\}$.

Determining $G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v)$ for general four point functions remains difficult, one way is to consider “quadratic Casimir operator”: [Dolan-Osborn 03, 11]

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_2 = \frac{1}{2} L^{AB} L_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (L_1 + L_2)_{AB} (L_1 + L_2)^{AB} \quad (5)$$

where $L_{i,AB}$ is Lorentz generator in $d + 2$ -dimensional embedding space.

For scalar primaries, we can define following differential operators:

$$\begin{aligned} D_z^{(a,b,c)} &= z^2(1-z)\partial_z^2 - ((a+b+1)z^2 - cz)\partial_z - abz, \\ D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b,c)} &= \bar{z}^2(1-\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}}^2 - ((a+b+1)\bar{z}^2 - c\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}} - ab\bar{z}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) = D_z^{(a,b,c)} + D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b,c)} + 2\varepsilon \frac{z\bar{z}}{z-\bar{z}} ((1-z)\partial_z - (1-\bar{z})\partial_{\bar{z}}), \quad (7)$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{d-2}{2}$. Setting $G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(u, v) = F_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})$, the action of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_2$ is

$$\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, 0) \cdot F_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) = \mathbf{c}_2(\lambda_+, \lambda_-) F_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}), \quad \lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\Delta \pm l}{2}. \quad (8)$$

In addition, we also have “quartic Casimir operator” :

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_4 = \frac{1}{2} L^{AB} L_{BC} L^{CD} L_{DA}. \quad (9)$$

The action of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_4$ on primary scalars is also expressed as eigen-equation:

$$\Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, 0) \cdot F_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) = \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda_+, \lambda_-) F_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}), \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) = \left[\frac{z\bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}} \right]^{2\varepsilon} \left[D_z^{(a,b,c)} - D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b,c)} \right] \left[\frac{z - \bar{z}}{z\bar{z}} \right]^{2\varepsilon} \left[D_z^{(a,b,c)} - D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b,c)} \right]. \quad (11)$$

The quadratic and quartic Casimir operators are by definition commuting:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{C}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{C}}_4] = 0 \implies [\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c), \Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c)] = 0. \quad (12)$$

Small Detour on Quantum Integrable Systems

A quantum integrable system can be constructed from classical one, provided the quantization condition [,] preserves commutators of $\{\hat{I}_k\}$:

$$[\hat{I}_j, \hat{I}_k] = 0, \quad \frac{d\hat{I}_k}{dt} = [\hat{I}_k, \hat{H}] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The generator of $\{\hat{I}_j\}$ is called “Monodromy matrix” $\hat{T}_{N,a}(\lambda)$, usually defined on a discretized lattice:

$$\hat{T}_{N,a}(\lambda) = \prod_{r=1}^N \hat{L}_{r,a}(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{H} \otimes V_a = \bigotimes_{r=1}^N \mathcal{H}_r \otimes V_a$$

such that Lax matrix $\hat{L}_{r,a}(\lambda)$ acts on local \mathcal{H}_r and auxiliary space V_a .

For Heisenberg $XXX_{1/2}$ spin chain, $\mathcal{H} = \bigotimes_{r=1}^N \mathbb{C}^2$, $V_a = \mathbb{C}^2$

$$\hat{L}_{r,a}(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_a + i \underbrace{(\vec{s}_r \cdot \vec{\sigma})}_{\text{on } \mathcal{H}_r} \otimes \vec{\sigma}_a = \hat{R}(\lambda - i/2) = (\lambda - i/2) \mathbf{1}_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_a + i \mathbb{P}_{r,a}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{r,s}$ is the permutation operator $\mathbb{P}_{r,s} a_r \otimes b_s = b_r \otimes a_s$.

The generators of commuting conserved integrals of motions is:

$$t_N(\lambda) = \text{Tr}_a \hat{T}_{N,a}(\lambda) = 2\lambda^N \mathbf{1}_{2 \times 2} + \sum_{r=0}^{N-2} \hat{I}_r \lambda^r, \quad [t_N(\lambda), t_N(\mu)] = 0,$$

spin component \hat{S}_3 completes N commuting quantum integrals of motion.

Interested in generalization of trigonometric “Calogero-Sutherland” spin systems: [\[Calogero, Sutherland 75\]](#)

$$\hat{H}_{\text{CS}} = - \sum_{i=1}^N (\partial_{q_i})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\beta(\beta - K_{ij})}{\sin^2(q_i - q_j)} \iff \text{Long range 2-body interaction,}$$

where K_{ij} is the long range permutation operator:

$$K_{ij} |s_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_j \dots s_N \rangle = |s_1, \dots, s_j, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_N \rangle,$$

and $s_i = -l, -(l-1), \dots, l, l \in \frac{\mathbb{N}}{2}$.

The commuting integrals of motion are expressed in terms of the N commuting “Dunkl operators”: [Dunkl 89]

$$\hat{J}_i = i\partial_{q_i} + \beta \sum_{i \neq j} (1 - i \cot(q_i - q_j)) K_{ij} - 2\beta \sum_{j < i} K_{ji},$$

$$[\hat{J}_i, \hat{J}_k] = 0, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N$$

such that:

$$\hat{I}_{2r} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{J}_i^{2r}, \quad [\hat{I}_{2r}, \hat{I}_{2s}] = 0, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, N.$$

Importantly, the permutation symmetric eigenfunctions can be obtained analytically in terms of symmetric polynomials known as “Jack Polynomials”, which are labeled by partition of non-negative integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_N \geq 0$, and we can study their various properties etc.

QIS from Conformal Blocks

Now we establish the following exact mapping between QIS and CFT:

[HYC-Qualls]

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c), \Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \right] = 0 &\iff \left[\hat{\mathcal{I}}_2^{(\text{BC}_2)}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}_4^{(\text{BC}_2)} \right] = 0, \\ G_{O_{\Delta, l}}(z, \bar{z}) &\iff \psi_{\lambda_+, \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}). \end{aligned}$$

The quantum integrable system here is given by following Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{\text{BC}_2} &= - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^2} \right) + 2\mathbf{a} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{a} - K_{u\bar{u}})}{\sinh^2(u - \bar{u})} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} - \tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}})}{\sinh^2(u + \bar{u})} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{b} - K_u)}{\sinh^2 u} - \frac{\mathbf{b}'(\mathbf{b}' - K_u)}{\cosh^2 u} \right) + \left(\frac{\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{b} - K_{\bar{u}})}{\sinh^2 \bar{u}} - \frac{\mathbf{b}'(\mathbf{b}' - K_{\bar{u}})}{\cosh^2 \bar{u}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is called “Hyperbolic Calogero-Sutherland spin chain of BC_2 , where

Permutation : $K_{u\bar{u}} f(u, \bar{u}) = f(\bar{u}, u)$, $\tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}} f(u, \bar{u}) = f(-\bar{u}, -u)$,

Reflection : $K_u f(u, \bar{u}) = f(-u, \bar{u})$, $K_{\bar{u}} f(u, \bar{u}) = f(u, -\bar{u})$.

The commuting Dunkl operators are given explicitly by: [\[Finkel et al 12\]](#)

$$\hat{J}_u^{(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \left[\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\tanh \frac{u}{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{b}'}{\coth \frac{u}{2}} \right] K_u - \mathbf{a} \left[\frac{\tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}}}{\tanh \frac{u+\bar{u}}{2}} + \frac{K_{u\bar{u}}}{\tanh \frac{u-\bar{u}}{2}} \right],$$

$$\hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}} - \left[\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\tanh \frac{\bar{u}}{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{b}'}{\coth \frac{\bar{u}}{2}} \right] K_{\bar{u}} - \mathbf{a} \left[\frac{\tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}}}{\tanh \frac{u+\bar{u}}{2}} - \frac{K_{u\bar{u}}}{\tanh \frac{u-\bar{u}}{2}} \right],$$

and there are two independent commuting integrals of motion:

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}}_2^{(\text{BC}_2)} = \hat{H}_{\text{BC}_2} = - \left(\hat{J}_u^{(\mathbf{a})} \right)^2 - \left(\hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(\mathbf{a})} \right)^2, \quad \hat{\mathcal{I}}_4^{(\text{BC}_2)} = - \left(\hat{J}_u^{(\mathbf{a})} \right)^4 - \left(\hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(\mathbf{a})} \right)^4. \quad (13)$$

The first step is to look for the appropriate commutator-preserving coordinate transformation: [\[Isachenkov-Schomerus, HYC-Qualls\]](#)

$$\Delta_{2,4}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \longrightarrow \chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \Delta_{2,4}^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \frac{1}{\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} \quad (14)$$

to relate CFT Casimirs to the commuting quantum integrals of motion.

The desired transformation is given by the following double-cover map:

$$z(u) = -\frac{1}{\sinh^2 u}, \quad \bar{z}(\bar{u}) = -\frac{1}{\sinh^2 \bar{u}},$$

$$\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z(u), \bar{z}(\bar{u})) = \frac{[(1-z(u))(1-\bar{z}(\bar{u}))]^{\frac{a+b-c}{2} + \frac{1}{4}}}{[z(u)\bar{z}(\bar{u})]^{\frac{1-c}{2}}} \left[\frac{z(u) - \bar{z}(\bar{u})}{z(u)\bar{z}(\bar{u})} \right]^\varepsilon \quad (15)$$

Explicit computation then shows that:

$$\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \frac{1}{\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{I}_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{H}_{\text{BC}_2},$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{b} = (a - b) + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{b}' = (a + b - c) + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (16)$$

Notice when $\varepsilon = 0$ or $\varepsilon = 1$, i. e. $d = 2$ or $d = 4$, pair-wise interactions both vanish (Pöschl-Teller). More generally we have the correspondence:

$$\psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}) = \chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z(u), \bar{z}(\bar{u})) F_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(z(u), \bar{z}(\bar{u}))$$

The eigenfunction is manifestly symmetric under $u \leftrightarrow \bar{u}$.

The quartic Casimir $\Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c)$ can also be expressed in terms of $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_2^{(BC_2)}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_4^{(BC_2)}$. First we can show that acting on $\psi_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u})$:

$$\left(J_u^{(0)}\right)^2 - \left(J_{\bar{u}}^{(0)}\right)^2 = 4\chi_{a,b,c}^{(0)}(z, \bar{z}) \left[D_z^{(a,b,c)} - D_{\bar{z}}^{(a,b,c)} \right] \frac{1}{\chi_{a,b,c}^{(0)}(z, \bar{z})}. \quad (17)$$

Next define following combinations of Dunkl and permutation operators:

$$\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} = \hat{J}_u^{(\varepsilon)} + \hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(\varepsilon)} + 2\varepsilon\tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}}, \quad \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} = \hat{J}_u^{(\varepsilon)} - \hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(\varepsilon)} + 2\varepsilon K_{u\bar{u}}$$

We can next show that:

$$\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)}\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)}\psi_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}) = t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \left[\left(J_u^{(0)}\right)^2 - \left(J_{\bar{u}}^{(0)}\right)^2 \right] \frac{1}{t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} \psi_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}),$$

$$t^{(\varepsilon)}(z(u), \bar{z}(\bar{u})) = [\sinh(u + \bar{u}) \sinh(u - \bar{u})]^\varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

Using the invariance of $\psi_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u})$ under reflection and permutation.

While above expression is invariant under K_u and $K_{\bar{u}}$, however crucially:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{u\bar{u}} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}) &= -\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}), \\ \tilde{K}_{u\bar{u}} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}) &= -\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}(u, \bar{u}), \end{aligned}$$

These properties in turn imply:

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} \left[\left(J_u^{(0)} \right)^2 - \left(J_{\bar{u}}^{(0)} \right)^2 \right] t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(+)} \hat{L}_{u\bar{u}}^{(-)} \psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} \left[\left(\hat{J}_u^{(0)} \right)^2 - \left(\hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(0)} \right)^2 \right] t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})^2 \left[\left(\hat{J}_u^{(0)} \right)^2 - \left(\hat{J}_{\bar{u}}^{(0)} \right)^2 \right] \frac{\psi_{\lambda_+ \lambda_-}^{(\varepsilon)}}{t^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})}. \end{aligned}$$

This precisely equals to gauge-transformed $\Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c)$ and expanding

$$\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \frac{1}{\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} = -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{I}_4 + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{I}_2^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \mathcal{I}_2 + \varepsilon^4. \quad (19)$$

Now we have the following correspondence:

$$\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z}) \left[\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c), \Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c) \right] \frac{1}{\chi_{a,b,c}^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \bar{z})} = [\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_4] = 0. \quad (20)$$

Not only $\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c)$ but also $\Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a, b, c)$ can be mapped to commuting conserved integrals of motion of BC_2 Calogero-Sutherland spin system!

Supersymmetric Generalization

The correspondence established appears to be more general, also extends to superconformal field theories (SCFT) with four and eight supercharges. This can be attributed to two observations:

1. Superconformal blocks are known to be linearly expanded in terms of conformal blocks. [Poland-Simmons-Duffin 11, Fitzpatrick et al 14, Khandker et al 14]
2. Non-supersymmetric conformal blocks enjoy certain “recurrence relations” relating different $\{G_{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,l}}(z, \bar{z})\}$. [Dolan-Osborn 03, 11]

They yield multiplicative relations between super and conformal blocks. More specifically, for SCFT with four supercharges we have: [Bobev et al 15]

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Delta,l}^{\mathcal{N}=1}(z, \bar{z}) = (z\bar{z})^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_{\Delta+1,l}^{\Delta_{12}-1, \Delta_{34}-1}(z, \bar{z}), \quad (21)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta,l}^{\mathcal{N}=1}(z, \bar{z})$ is the superconformal block of scalar primaries:
 $\langle \Phi_1(x_1)\Phi_2(x_2)\Phi_3(x_3)\Phi_4(x_4) \rangle$ with constraint $\Delta_{1,3} = \frac{d-1}{2}q_{1,3}$.

We can interpret this $(z\bar{z})^{-1/2}$ factor as part of gauge transformation. This is confirmed from superconformal $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_2^{\mathcal{N}=1}$, whose action is:

$$\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a+1, b, 1) \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{\mathcal{N}=1}(z, \bar{z}) = c_2^{\mathcal{N}=1}(\lambda_+\lambda_-)(z, \bar{z}). \quad (22)$$

The transformed $\Delta_2^{(\varepsilon)}(a+1, b, 1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_+\lambda_-}^{\mathcal{N}=1}(z, \bar{z})$ are identified with the Hamiltonian and eigenfunction of BC_2 CS system with shift in $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')$.

For SCFTs with eight supercharges, we consider four point function:

[Lemos-Liendo 15]

$$\langle \Phi_1(x_1) \bar{\Phi}_2(x_2) \Phi_3(x_3) \bar{\Phi}_4(x_4) \rangle \quad (23)$$

where the $U(1)_R$ charges $q_1 = -q_4$ and $q_2 = -q_3$. In 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFT, it is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_2^{(1)}(a, a+2, 2)$ which can be transformed into BC_2 CS system Hamiltonian. We would like to conjecture this to be true for arbitrary d -dimensions.

A small byproduct is the action of quartic superconformal Casimir:

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}_4^{\mathcal{N}=1} \equiv \Delta_4^{(\varepsilon)}(a+1, b, 1). \quad (24)$$

Generalization to External Spins

When external operators carry space-time spins, we need to decompose correlation function into independent Lorentz tensor structures. In four dimensions, we consider “seed conformal partial waves” [Echeverri et al 16]

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{O}_{l,l+p}}^{\text{seed}}(x_i) &= \langle \phi_1(x_1) F_2(x_2)^{(p,0)} \phi_3(x_3) \bar{F}_4^{(0,p)}(x_4) \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{24}^2} \right)^{\frac{\tau_{21}}{2}} \left(\frac{x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2} \right)^{\frac{\tau_{34}}{2}} \frac{\sum_{e=0}^p G_e^{(p)}(u, v) \mathbf{I}_{42}^e \mathbf{J}_{42,31}^{p-e}}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{(\tau_1+\tau_2)}{2}} (x_{34}^2)^{\frac{(\tau_3+\tau_4)}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_i = \Delta_i + \frac{l_i + \bar{l}_i}{2}$, \mathbf{I}_{ij} and $\mathbf{J}_{ij,kl}$ are independent tensor structures.

Now $W_{\mathcal{O}_{l,l+p}}^{\text{seed}}(x_i)$ as whole remains an eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}_2$, however \mathbf{I}_{ij} and $\mathbf{J}_{ij,kl}$ are permuted, $\{G_e^{(p)}(u, v)\}$ obey coupled PDE instead:

$$\left[\Delta_2^{\left(\frac{p+2}{2}\right)}(a_e, b_e, c_e) + \frac{\mathcal{E}_e^p}{2} \right] G_e^{(p)} + A_e^p z \bar{z} \mathbf{L}(a_{e-1}) G_{e-1}^{(p)} + B_e \mathbf{L}(b_{e+1}) G_{e+1}^{(p)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

Thanks to the recurrence relation for hypergeometric functions, these coupled PDEs can be solved iteratively:

$$G_e^{(p)}(z, \bar{z}) = \left(\frac{z\bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}} \right)^{2p} \sum_{(m,n) \in \text{Oct}_e^{(p)}} c_{m,n}^e F_{\frac{\Delta+l+p/2}{2}+m, \frac{\Delta-l+p/2}{2}-(p+1)+n}^{a_e, b_e, c_e}(z, \bar{z}).$$

where non-vanishing constant $c_{m,n}^e$ distribute in octagon $\text{Oct}_e^{(p)}$.

Remarkably, we can perform analogous term-wise gauge transformation to map above into:

$$\psi_e^{(p)}(u, \bar{u}) = \frac{1}{[\sinh(u + \bar{u}) \sinh(u - \bar{u})]^{2p}} \sum_{(m,n) \in \text{Oct}_e^{(p)}} c_{m,n}^e \psi_{\rho_1+m, \rho_2+n}^{a_e, b_e, c_e}(u, \bar{u}), \quad (26)$$

i. e. the eigenfunctions of BC_2 Calogero-Sutherland system form a complete basis for expanding other conformal blocks.

In 2d, similar linear expansion of spinning conformal blocks in terms of scalar conformal blocks also occurs. [\[Osborn 12\]](#)

Future Directions

- ▶ Exact eigenfunctions (Koornwinder polynomials) and construction of other conformal blocks as series expansion in arbitrary d-dimensions.
- ▶ Can we diagonalize spinning blocks now linearly expanded in terms of scalar conformal block/CS eigenfunctions?
- ▶ If so, what kind of quantum integrable systems do they correspond to? If at all? How do they relate to one another?
- ▶ Superconformal blocks and quantum integrable systems?
- ▶ Is this connection with quantum integrable system accidental? Via AdS/CFT, can we see similar structures in gravity side?
2 points \rightarrow 3 points \rightarrow 4 points?